No 249.

pertained to himself, by a disposition from the said John Wood, for a very onerous cause, viz. for farms and duties owing by him to the defender, his master, long before the arrestment. It was answered, That the disposition was made retenta possessione for the space of divers months; and, before any possession apprehended, Wood was denounced rebel at the pursuer's instance, and consequently the disposition was null quoad the pursuer. It was replied, That the disposition being made before the disponer was rebel, he might lawfully suffer the disponer (his own tenant) to keep still the goods, as long as he pleased, the rebel's escheat not being gifted and declared; and the act of Parliament doth not annul any disposition before the rebellion, but only such as are made stante rebellione.

THE LORDS found the allegeance and reply relevant.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 156. Gilmour, No 45. p. 33.

1666. February 9. Colonel Haitlie against Arthur Lyle and Others.

No 250.

There is a spuilzie pursued at the instance of Colonel Haitlie, against Arthur Lyle and certain other persons, for spuilzie of a great quantity of yarn belonging to the pursuer, and in his possession. It was alleged for the defenders, That the said yarn was lawfully poinded. It was replied, Ought to be repelled, unless the defender would allege that the yarn was poinded for a debt due by the pursuer after the said yarn being bought and delivered to him, and the price paid, and he in possession by the space of divers days before the poinding, could never be poinded for any other debt, nor can the poinding liberate. The Lords assoilzied the defender from the libel, in respect the yarn poinded was in the common debtor's cellar, and poinded out thereof, and that it was looked after by the common debtor's servants.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 156. Newbyth, MS. p. 57.

1682. January 11. CREDITORS of Hamilton against Hamilton.

No 251.

In a reduction at the instance of creditors, of a disposition granted by the common debtor in favours of his sister and brother-in-law, of his house and shop, upon this reason, That the same was simulate, seeing it was made retenta possessione, he having continued in the possession for two years, keeping open shop, and continuing his business as formerly; the Lords, in respect that the sasine upon the tenement was not taken for 18 months after the date of the disposition, and that the common debtor continued to possess, and the same being all the estate he had till he broke, reduced the disposition as simulate, ad hunc effectum, to bring in all the creditors pari passu according to their diligence. In this case the Lords refused to reduce upon the act 1621, because