No 75.

' THE LORDS found, that seeing the testament was executed by a sentence; the other executor needed not be called.'

2dlv. Drum alleged, That he could not be liable to this executor, but for the half. It was alleged for the donatar, that he craved preference for the other half. It was answered, that the donatar could have no interest, because the sum was heritable. It was answered, that albeit it was heritable, yet it became moveable, by the executors taking a decreet therefor, in the same case as if requisition had been used.

In this the Lords did not decide, some being of opinion, that it was moveable, others contrary; because an executor being but a successor, as a decreet of registration, or transference, would not change the nature of the first bond, so neither would this decreet.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 277. Stair, v. 1. p. 254.

1666. November 16. REID against TELFER.

No 76. A testament. is to be reckoned as executed, and no place for a confirmation ad non executa. when a decree is recovered against the debtor, tho' the executor die before payment is See No 79. p. 3884.

In the case, William Reid contra Telfer and Salmond, it was found, that a testament is to be thought executed, so that, thereafter, there is no place to a non executa, when a decreet is recovered against the debtors; though the executor decease before he get payment; because the right of the debt is fully established in his person by the decreet; and he having done diligence, it ought not to be imputed to him, that the debtor is in mora as to the payment of the debt: and there being jus quasitum by a decreet, and execution having followed thereupon by horning, after which annualrent, though not due ex pacto, yet becometh due ex lege, or by comprising at the instance of the executor, and infeftment thereupon, it were absurd, that all these rights should evanish; which would necessarily follow, if there were place to a non executa; seeing the decreets and rights foresaid followed thereupon, could not be transferred or settled in the person of the executor ad non executa, who doth represent the defunct only, and not the executor, at whose instance the decreet is obtained and executed.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 277. Dirleton, No 49. p. 20.

1666. November 17. ALEXANDER DOWNY against ROBERT YOUNG.

No 77. Found as above.

made.

Umounile Alexander Downy granted an assignation to his oye, Alexander Downy, of two bonds, who finding that after his goodsire's decease, Mr John Hay was confirmed executor to his goodsire, and had given up these bonds in his inventory, but had not recovered payment, he confirms himself executor, ad non executa, to his goodsire, and pursues the debtors for payment of the bonds. Gompearance is made for Robert Young, who alleges, That he is exe-