The same case is reported by Stair:

No 10.

No ste

In a competition betwixt the Creditors of Hamilton of Kinglaffie, it was alleged for William Hoom, who had right to an annualrent, That he ought to be preferred to Joseph Lermont, who stood publicly infest in the property, in anno 1655; because albeit the annualrent of itself was base, yet long before, it was validate by a decree for pointing of the ground. It was answered, That there was no way to make a base insestment valid, but by possession: Here there could be no possession, because the annualrent was granted to take effect only after the granter's death, and the decreet thereupon was obtained long before his death, and so could be repute no possession.

THE LORDS were of opinion, That the foresaid decreet of poinding of the ground, upon the base infeftment, ordaining the ground to be poinded, (the terms of payment being come and bygone) was sufficient to validate the base infestment; and that thereby it remained no more a private clandestine infestment.

February 27. 1662. In the competition betwixt the Greditors of Kinglassie, mentioned the former day, the dispute anent the base insestment, made public by the poinding of the ground fo long before the term of payment, being reasoned before the Lords in prasentia, they sustained the same as before.

Stair, v. 1. p. 105. 109.

er in salah d

La bio coi sei a la la come de la 1666. June 30. MARTIN STEVENSON against Donnie.

Control of the second M. Annuarit Donne heing tonant to James Stevenson of certain lands, he gets an infestment of annualment out of the same lands, before Whitsunday; but the first term's payment of the annualrent was Martinmas thereafter ; after Whitfunday, and hefore Mortin was, Martin Stevenion apprifes the land, and charges the fuperior, and thereupon pursues for mails and duties. Dobbie excepts upon his infestinent of annualment. The pursuar annuared, That the inferment was base, and beforcit was or could be clad with pollettion he had charged the superior; which was equivalent to a public infoftmenta . The defender anguered; That a public indeferment intervening, before the first term of payment of the annualment, did not projudge the base infestment, which could not be presumed to be private, or Simulaterfor want of pullfolion, till the term came, at which possession might be attained, or purfued for. 2dly, The defender being in natural possession, from the very date of his fasine, intus babet, and he may retain his own annualrent, which begins to become due from the date of his fasine, de momento in momentum, albeit there be a term appointed to pay accumulative; fo that as the getting payment from the possession of any part of the annualrent, or his obligement for the

No 11. A tenent obtained from his landlord, an infeftment of annualrent out of the lands, before Whitlunday. The first term's payment of annualrent was the next Martinmas. In the interim a creditor apprises, charges the fuperior, and infifts for mails and duties. The tenant pre. ferred. Intus babuit.

No 11. fame, would be a possession sufficient; so the defender having the same in his own hand as possession, it is equivalent.

The Lords found this member of the defence relevant, and had no necessity to decide the other point, whether the intervening public infeftment, before the first term, would exclude the base infestment, without possession; wherein they thought that there was great odds, if the appriser's infestment, or diligence, had been before Whitsunday, in respect the first term of the annualrent, was not the next term after the sasine; and so if it might pass one term, by the same reason it might pass ten terms, and be valid; because, in neither case, could possession or action proceed thereon, and therefore might be suspected of simulation; so that if the appriser's diligence had been before Whitsunday, the annualrenter could have no right to that term; and so the appriser would attain to the possession, and could hardly be excluded thereafter.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 88. Stair, v. 1. p. 384.

## \*\* The same case is reported by Gilmour:

JAMES DOBIE in Dalkeith having a tack from James Stevenson; the heir of anacre of land, for some years, and ay and while he should be paid for 500 merks owing to him by Stevenson: Martin Stevenson, brother and creditor to the faid Tames for a debt, comprises the faid lands, and some other lands, from his brother; charges the fuperior to infeft him; and thereupon raises summons against the said James Dobie for mails and duties; who having proponed upon the tack, his allegeance was repelled, in regard the years of his tack were expired: and the clause ay and while is null, wanting an ish.—Thereafter he did allege. That for the faid debt, and some other debts, owing by the debtor, he was infeft in an annualrent, before the pursuer's comprising and charge.—Answered, No respect to be had to the infeftment, being base, not clad with possession; nor could it be clad with possession, because the comprising and charge were prior to the term of payment of the annualrent; yea, and to the term from which the annualrent began to be due; and so the pursuer having a right public, (the charge against the superior being equivalent to a public infestment,) he ought to be preferred.—Replied, That the defender was in possession of the land, out of which the annualrent was to be uplifted; and so, as possessor, he was heritor of the mails and duties, and consequently, of the annualrent payable furth of the mails. which is equivalent, as if his infeftment were formally clad with possession; nor was it necessary for him, before the term, to seek a decreet for pointing the ground, seeing he behooved to poind his own goods in that case; et intus habuit to pay himfelf by the mails.

THE LORDS preferred the infeftment of annualrent. See TACK.

Gilmour, No 186. p. 136.