infift in his reduction, as accords: and declared, that if the defender used diligence, in the reduction, they would take it to confideration, at the conclusion of the cause.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 1. Stair, v. 1. p. 213.

No 5.

No 6.

on an estate,

during the

incumbency of a liferen-

ter, found to accrefce to

**1**666. January. CAMPBELL against STIRLING.

Archibald Campbell of Ottar, by contract of marriage, and infeftment fol- A miln built lowing thereupon; did provide Anna Stirling, his fpouse, to the lands of Kinnaltie, by charter; carrying cum molendinis et multuris. At this time there is no miln upon the lands; but, during the marriage, he builds one; and, after his death, the relict possesset both lands and miln: whereupon she and her present husband, and tenants, are pursued by this Ottar; for the duties of the miln.— It was alleged, absolvitor, because the miln was built upon the husband's lands; which she liferented; being infest, cum molendinis; and edificia built by the heritor, cedunt folo; and consequently to the liferenter.—It was answered. That milns being inter regalia, are not transmitted, without an express disposition and infeftment; and the general clause of a charter cannot do it.—Replied, That the general clause gives her good right, unless there had been a going miln, at the time of the infeftment; in which case, it might have been questionable, unless the lands and miln had been erected into a barony; but where there was no miln; and a new miln is built; the miln accrefceth to the liferenter, during the liferent; as well as if the had built it herfelf, after the husband's death :--which the Lords found accordingly. Withall the Lords declared, That if, after building the miln, her husband did thirle any other lands thereto, beside her liferent lands; that the is not to have the benefit of any fuch restriction.

Gilmour, p. 130. No 180.

1666. February 28.

Earl of LAUDERDALE against Viscount of OXENFORD.

THE Earl of Lauderdale, being infeft in the barony of Musselburgh; which is a part of the abbacy of Dunfermline; and was erected into a temporal lordship, in favour of the Lord Thirlston, thereafter Chancellor, the Lord Lauderdale's grandfather, in anno 1587; before the act of annexation, wherein the erection of Musselburgh, to the Lord Thirlston, is expressly excepted; thereafter, in anno 1592, the Queen was infeft by the King, in liferent, in the abbacy of Dunfermline; with the confent of the Lord Thirlflon, as to Muffelburgh, and his refignation, to that effect. Shortly after, that same year, the King gave the Queen, an heritable, and irredeemable right, of the whole abbacy of Dunfermline; which was confirmed by a printed act of Parliament. The Queen lived till the year 1618: after which the King was ferved heir to his mother, in the

No 7. The benefit of interruption of prefcription. made by a donator during the ufurpation, found to accrefce to the true proprie-

tor when restored.

D 2

abbacy of Dunfermline, and infeft therein, being then Prince. No 7. The King gave an heritable and irredeemable right, to the Lord Oxenford's authors, of the teinds of Coutsland, as a part of the Lordship of Musselburgh, in annoi And shortly thereafter, his Majesty did renew the Earl of Lauderdale's infeftment, of the Lordship of Musselburgh, with a novodamus; Lauderdale being forefaulted by the usurpers, Swinton got a donative of the lordship of Muffelburgh; and, among the rest, of the teinds of Coutsland; and did raise inhibition and reduction of their rights. After the King's restoration, the Earl of Lauderdale obtains his infeftment confirmed in Parliament; with an express exception therein, that it should not be derogated by the act, falvo jure; raises inhibition of the teinds; and pursues action of spuilzie; and also of reduction.— It was alleged for the defender, abfolvitor; because he stands infest in the teinds libelled, by infeftment, granted by the king, before the Earl of Lauderdale's infeftment pursued on; and by virtue of his infeftment, King Charles I. and Queen Anne, his authors, have been in peaceable possession, uninterrupted, since the year of God 1593: and therefore their right is accomplished, and established by prescription.—It was answered, for the pursuer, That the defence ought to be repelled; because, fince the death of Queen Anne, who died in anno 1618, till the interruption made by Swinton, by inhibition and reduction, in anno 1656. there are not 40 year's run; and, till the Queen's death, the Earl of Lauderdale's grandfather could not pursue; because he had granted resignation in her favours, for her liferent; et contra non valentem agere non currit prescriptio: so wives provisions, in their contracts of marriage, preferibe not from their date; but from the time of their husband's death; all obligations prescribe only from the term of payment; and infeftments, and obligements of relief, from the diffress. —It was answered, for the defender, That this defence stands still relevant; 1/2, Because, as to any interruption made by Swinton, it cannot be profitable to the purfuer; because he derives no right from Swinton: And as to the Queen's liferent infeftment, confented to by Thirlston, the Queen never accepted of the same; but of an heritable right from the King that fame year; by which heritable right only, she possessed, and did all deeds of property; by entering of vassals, and granting of feus; which a liferenter could not do: Which heritable right, Thirlfton could not misken; because, by a special printed act of Parliament, it is confirmed in Parliament, and passed the Great Seal, himself being Chancellor.— It was answered, for the pursuer, That the defence and duply ought to be repelled, in respect of the reply; because the confirmation of the Queen's heritable right in Parliament was, falvo jure; and he was fecured by the act falvo jure, in the fame Parliament; and that he knew thereof, at the passing of the Great: Seal, is but a weak prefumption; and fuch knowledge could not prejudge him; nor was he in any capacity to purfue upon his own right, for attaining possession; feeing the Queen's liferent right, and heritable right, were both compatible; and it was evident, the Queen would exclude him, by his confent, in the liferent right; neither can the Queen's acceptance be questioned, after so long time; seeing the

No 7.

acceptance of the liferent was to her advantage and profit, before the got the fee. and did exclude Thirlston's prior right; which would have undoubtedly reduced the Queen's right; and was excepted in the general act of annexation; and would not fall under the act falvo jure.—It was also severally alleged, that this Earl of Lauderdale's late right was confirmed in Parliament 1661; and all other rights declared void; and that the ratification should have the force of a public law, and not be derogated by the act falvo jure.—It was answered, for the defender, That, in prescriptione longissimi temporis non requiritur tempus utile, sed continuum; in confideration whereof, the time of the faid prescription is made so long; and, therefore, captivity, absence reipublica causa, want of jurisdiction, or the like, are not respected; 2dly, Thirliton valebat agere; because he might have reduced the Queen's infeftment of fee; or declared his own right of fee to be effectual after her death. And, as to the late act of Parliament, albeit it does exclude the act falvo jure; yet that is parte inaudita; and, upon the impetration of a party, fuo periculo; but the Parliament have never affumed power to take away the private rights of subjects; except upon another or better right; otherwife no man in Scotland can call any thing his own; but a confirmation in Parliament, with fuch a clause surreptitiously obtained, shall take away the unquestionable right of any other.—It was answered, for the pursuer, That the Parliament had not encroached upon the just right of any other; but had only restored the pursuer to his grandfather's right; and seeing there is no question, but that right was prior and better than the Queen's, and the defender's, and was in no hazard; but, as to the point of prescription, that being a rigorous statute, the Parliament might well excuse the pursuer, for not pursuing the King and Queen; but rather patiently to abide their pleafure, till they were denuded, in favours of private parties.—It was answered, for the defender, That all our private rights, especially of property, are founded upon positive law; and there is none stronger than the right of prescription; and, therefore, if the Parliament can take that away, as to one person, and not generally, they may annul the right of any private person whatsomever.

The Lords were unwilling to decide in the whole points of the debate; but did, in the first place, consider the right of the parties, without the act of Parliament, in favours of the Queen; or the late act, in favours of the Earl: And, in the point of right, they repelled the defence of prescription; in respect of the duply of Swinton's interruption; which they found to accresce to the pursuer cujus jure utebatur; and found, that before the Queen's death, the prescription could not run, in respect of the Queen's infestment of liferent; consented to by Thirlston; which would exclude him from any action, for attaining possession: And they found, that he was not obliged to use declarator, or reduction, which might be competent in the cases of distress, or the rights of wives, or any other right; which yet do always exclude prescription, till action may be founded thereupon, that may attain possession. (See Prescription.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 1. Stair, v. 1. 370.