No 24.

to a part, seeing the charter could not be divided anent the trial of the tenor thereof. Likeas they found, that the personal bond concerning some other of the lands therein contained, gave the pursuer interest to seek probation of the tenor of the said charter, albeit the defender alleged, that a personal bond could not produce action for proving of the tenor of a real right, except some other action had been first moved upon that personal bond, which might in law produce a pursuit concerning a real right in the person of the maker of the bond, which was repelled.

Act. Aiton et Stuart.

Alt. Hope et Belshes.

Clerk, Gibson. Durie, p. 345.

*** This case is shortly observed by Kerse in the following words:

It was repelled in an action of probation of proving the tenor of a charter. Kerse, MS. fol. 146.

No 25.

ALEXANDER BALMANNO against WILLIAM YULE. 1628. March 12.

In an action of reduction pursued by Alexander Balmanno against William Yule, for reducing of a disposition of a low cellar, made by John Maxwel to umquhile Nicol Yule, the defender's father, and that ex capite inhibitionis; alleged, No process against William Yule, because minor, et sic non tenebatur placitare super hareditate.—Found not relevant against the production.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 589. Spottiswood, (REDUCTION.) p. 269.

1665. Fanualy 31. KELLO against PRINGLE.

No 26.

In all events where the minor himself is not infeft, he must produce the predecessor's infeftment, to evidence that it is hareditas paterna, without which he cannot have the benefit of the exception.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 589. Stair. Newbyth.

*** This case is No 11. p. 9063.

MR JOHN WILKIE against Anderson of Dowhill. Fanuary 8.

No 27. A minor is abliged to

In an improbation pursued at Wilkie's instance, it being alleged for the defenders, that no certification could be granted, quia minor; non tenetur placi-