No 8.

excludes his nearest of kin.—It was answered, That the annat being a favour to the successors of beneficed persons, though it need no confirmation, yet it must belong to the nearest of kin, as well as to the wife, which is cleared by the late act of Parliament 1669, anent annats, declaring them to belong to executors without confirmation; therefore the executors can only be accountable to the wife for the half, when there are no children.

Which the Lords found relevant.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 36. Stair, v. 2. p. 678.

1665. July 6.

Mr John Colvill Advocate, against The Lord Balmerino.

No 9.
There being no wife or children, the annat belongs to the nearest in kin.

Mr. John Colvill advocate, as executor to umquhile Mr John Colvill, minifter at Kirknewton, pursues the Lord Balmerino and others, for payment to him, as executor forefaid, of the stipend due to the said umquhile Mr John, for certain years bygone, alleged refting owing, Mr John having died in February 1663.-It was excepted, No process for that year's stipend, because it was paid to the intrant minister, and was presented and admitted to the said kirk for the crop 1663; and the last incumbent having died before the term of payment, nothing could belong to the defunct, but what was in bonis defuncti.—It was replied, The executor purfued for the same, albeit the term was not come, as annat.-To which duplied, That the executor could not crave the annat, the same being truly due to wife and bairns; ita est the defunct Mr John Colvill had neither. THE Lords found, That the nearest of kin have right to the annat, albeit And there being a question as to the the defunct have neither wife nor bairns. glebe, the defunct having died in February, to whom the crop thereon should belong; the Lords found likewise, That the nearest of kin had right thereto, they always proving that the defunct had fown the same before his decease. No 5. the fame case.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 36. Newbyth, MS. p. 32.

1709. February 8.

Representatives of Sheils against The Town of St Andrews.

No to.
All flipendiary ministers are comprehended under the act of Parliament, whether they be paid out of tithes or otherwise.

John Shells, brother and executor to Mr Alexander Sheils, minister at St Andrews, who died in our colony of Darien, in 1700, pursues the Earl of Crawford, as provost, and the other magistrates of that town, for payment of the annat due for the half year after his incumbency, by the act of Parliament 1672, regulating the periods of its falling due.—Alleged, This gratuity introduced by law in favours of ministers, relicts, and children, left commonly poor, has only place where the stipend is payable out of the teinds, but in burghs royal, they