No 9. fame being only deduced, for the principal and penalty; and which penalty extended not to fo many annuals, as the creditor wanted unpaid to him.

Act. Advocatus and Mowat.

Alt. Nicolfon, Burnet and Nairn.

Clerk, Hay.

Durie, p. 460.

1665. December 2.

M'Culloch against CRAIG.

No 10.
A comprising found null, fubscribed only by the clerk, and not by the messenger who was judge.

In a pursuit, at the instance of Sir Hugh M'Culloch against Mr John Craig, as representing his father, Mr Robert Craig, by progress; which Mr Robert, was debtor by bend to Patrick Wood, and which bend was comprised; the right whereof, came in the person of the said Hugh M'Culloch; whereupon he pursued the said Mr John:—There being nothing produced, but a comprising, subscribed by James Allan, who was clerk to the comprising, and not by the messenger who was judge; the Lords would find no process thereon.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 5. Newbyth MS. p. 42.

1670. July 21.

LADY LUCY HAMILTON against the CREDITORS of MONCASTLE.

No 11. A warrant, upon a bill to lead a comprifing at another head burgh, than that of the fhire where the lands lay, was found null, fince it was not at Edinburgh, which is communis patria.

In the reduction, at Lady Lucy's instance, against the Creditors of Moncastle, it being alleged for Pitroan, one of the trustees, that he himself being a creditor, and inferted in the disposition ab initio, the same could not be taken away, but fcripto vel juramento.—It was replied, That he ought to condefcend and instruct in quantum he was creditor; specially, he being Moncastle's brother-in-law, and so a confident person.—The Lords did ordain him to condescend and instruct, otherwise they declared they would reduce his right as simulate. -2de, The defenders offered to purge the pursuer's comprising, she assigning them thereto.—To this it was replied, That the reversion of the comprising being expired, and the right thereby become irredeemable, she was not obliged to affign: but declared that the was content to discharge the comprising upon payment.— THE LORDS found the offer to discharge the comprising sufficient, and that she was not obliged to affign.—3tio, It was alleged for Kelburn, who was likewise compriser, That his right could not be reduced upon these libelled reasons: That the lands were denounced at the head burgh of the regality; and that the comprifing was led in Glasgow, which is not the head burgh of the shire; because, albeit regalities were suppressed at that time by the usurpers; yet quoad doing of legal diligence at the head burghs of regalities, there was no discharge thereof in their act and proclamation. And as to the fecond, the comprising was led at Glafgow, upon a special warrant from the English judges.

It was replied to the first, That by act and proclamation of the usurpers, all jurisdictions of Lords of regalities were discharged and suppressed; and these