1663. January 30. Archibald Stewart against Bogle & Matthie.

A fine for L. 200 Scots pronounced by a bailie of regality was sustained.

to the could

It was are fine

No 211.

Bogle and Matthie being convened before Archibald Stewart, as bailie of the regality of Glasgow, for a wrong committed upon two other persons in the kirk upon the sabbath, thrusting in upon them in a seat, and beating them; they were therefore amerciated in L. 200, half to the party, and half to the fiscal. It was alleged the fine was exorbitant, and that inferior courts could not amerciate above L. 10, as it had been found by several decisions. It was answered, That this Court being a regality, and the fact so atrocious, the fine was very competent.

THE LORDS sustained the decreet.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 501. Stair, v. 1. p. 165.

## SECT. III.

Whether inferior Courts may review their own Decrees.

1611. January 29. WILLIAM LEYS against John Murray.

No 212.

THE Commissaries cannot be judges in reduction of their own decreets, but only the Lords of Session, to whom belongs the reduction of all decreets of infesior judges. Commissaries may reduce confirmations of testaments past before themselves.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 501. Haddington, MS. No 2129.

\*\* A judgment in conformity with the first part of this case was pronounced 26th January 1677, Cowan against Procurator Fiscal of the Commissariot of Glasgow, No 202. p. 7486.

1627. February 23. Service against Chalmers.

No 213.

Inferior judges cannot summon a party to compear and explain his oath given in the same process.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 502. Durie.

\*\*\* This case is No 17. p. 7305.