1663. February 6.

LORD LOURIE against EARL of DUNDEE.

The Lord Lourie pursues a reduction of a disposition, made by Carnegy of Craig to the Earl of Dundee, as being posterior to the pursuer's debts, and in prejudice thereof, upon the act of Parliament 1621, against bankrupts; and, for instructing of the reason, repeats the disposition itself, being betwixt confident persons, cousins-german, and without cause onerous, in so far as it bears reservation of the disponer's and his Lady's liferent; and provision to be null, if Craig have heirs of his body, in whose favours Dundee is to denude himself, upon payment of his expence.—The defender alleged, That the libel is not relevant. 1mo, Because Craig is no bankrupt, nor any diligence done against him, before the disposition. 2do, He is not insolvent by the disposition, because there is reserved to him a power to sell as much of the land as is worth 80,000l. for debt, and so is not in fraudem creditorum; but the pursuer ought to pursue for that provision, either by apprising or personal action.

The Lords found the reason relevant and proven by the tenor of the disposition; and therefore reduced, to the effect, that the pursuer might affect the said lands with all legal diligence for his debt, as if the disposition had not been granted; for they thought, seeing by this disposition there remains no estate sufficient, ad paratam executionem, that there was no reason to put the pursuer to insist in that clause, to restrict himself thereby to a part of the land, but that he ought to have preference for his debt, upon his diligence, affecting the whole land.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 68. Stair, v. 1. p. 175.

11677. January 5. Earl of Glencairn against

Briseains:

Francis Freelann of that ilk, having disponed to John M'Nair and Robert Hamilton irredeemably; and they thereafter having disponed the same, with consent of the said Francis, to John Brisbain; and the said John having granted a reversion to the said Francis, his heirs of his own body allenarly, for payment of the sum of 8000 merks, and what surther sums should be debursed for improving the lands, building or repairing the houses, with annualrent fract he debursements, upon the said John Freeland, his own declaration; and that after the said Francis Freeland, alleging, That the said reversion was granted by fraud and contrivance, and in prejudice of him and lawful creditors; and that the said reversion was granted in manner foresaid, not in savours of his debtor, but his heirs, for eluding their execution; pursued a reduction of the said disposition made in savours of Hamilton and M'Nair; and a declarator that Brisbain's right should sall in consequence; and that it should be lawful to him to comprise the

No 40. A gratuitous disposition. referving the granter's liferent, and a faculty to fell a part of the estate for payment of debt, was reduced; to the effect, that the creditor might proceed in diligence against the lands, as if the disposition had not been granted; and without regard to the faculty tofell.

No 41. A reversion being granted in a difposition to the disponer's heirs only. himself being oberatus : the Lords thought, that if the price were not adequate, (which was to be tried,) a reduction of the disposition, at the instance of creditors, ought to be fustained, and access allowed to them to comprise the reversion.