the subject in good order; Durnford's Reports, Bulloch against Dommit; \* and on their negligence in leaving a kiln, so constructed, at night, without a watch; Vinnius' Inst. lib. 3. T. 25.

No 71.

The defenders maintained, that a tenant is in no case liable; where the subject is burned by accident; and contended, that the fire, in the present case, was occasioned by the improper construction of the kiln, which, though known to their servant, was concealed from themselves; and that it was not usual to watch kilns in the night time.

A considerable majority of the Court thought there was sufficient evidence of negligence on the part of the defenders to support the interlocutor; and on that ground adhered.

Lord Ordinary, Swinton. Ac

Act. Ja. Ferguson, jun.

Alt. Cha. Brown. Clerk, Sinclair.

Fac. Col. No 43. p. 101.

## SECT. VIII.

Whether a Creditor runs any hazard of the subject burdened with his debt.

1662. June 26. Adamson against Lord Balmerino.

No 72.

A TENEMENT, out of which an annualrent was payable, being laid waste, several years deduction was sought by a singular successor in the tenement, of the annualrents of these years, as is frequently done in feu-duties.—Answered. Tho in some cases feu-duties cease by devastation, this was never extended to annualrents due for the profit of a stock of money. The defence was repelled.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 61. Stair.

\* This case is No 3. p. 3346, voce Debtor and CREDITOR.

1686. January. George Monteith against Anderson.

JOHN ANDERSON having right by progress to an infeftment of annualment of L. 80 yearly out of a tenement of land in Edinburgh, pursues pointing of the

No 73. Found, that by act 10th, Paul. 1551.

\* The Reporters do not, in any case, vouch for the accuracy of references to authorities from the Law of England.