ALIMENT.

(OF THE ACT 1491.)

1662. February 13. ANTONIA BIRNIE against LIFERENTERS of Roffie.

ANTONIA BIRNIE, as heir apparent, and having right to the fee of the effate of Roffie and Fordel, purfues her mother and grand-father, liferenters thereof, for a modification of aliment. The grand-father made no opposition.—It was alleged for the mother, That the whole inheritace was not liferented.—The purfuer anfwered, That what was not liferented, was affected with apprifings for the defunct's debts, led after his death.—The defender anfwered, non relevat, unlefs the apprifings had been before the defunct's death; but being against the apparent heir herfelf, fhe ought to fell land, and pay the debt, and live upon the remainder. The purfuer offered her to prove the apprifings and debts equivalent to the value of all the lands not liferented.

Which the Lords found relevant.

Stair, v. 1. p. 99.

No 15. The Lords declined to decide, on a demand for aliment by an heir, against his mo-ther, where the debts which exhaufted the refidue of the eftate were perfonal, and no infeftment theseon,

1667. December 18. ROBERT DOBY against the LADY of Stonyhill.

THE Lady Stonyhill being provided in liferent, to an annualrent of 2800 merks, her fon purfues her for an aliment; both upon the act of Parliament, in respect that the defunct's debt was equivalent to all the rest of the estate, beside her liferent, and also *fuper jure natura*, as being obliged to aliment her fon, he having no means, and she having a plentiful provision.

THE LORDS, in confideration of the newnefs of the cafe, and that the debts that might exhauft the effate, were most part perfonal, and no infertment thereon, before or after the defunct's death, recommended to one of their number to endeavour to agree the parties.

Stair, v. 1. p. 498.

1629. July 7. HAMILTON Younger of Blair against his GRAND-FATHER.

No 16.

A grandfather, liferenter by referaction. was found not obliged to aliment his grand ion. The oye purfuing the goodfir and his mother for his intertainment, by fome modification out of the living which was poffeffed by them : it was found, That the goodfir having before given to the purfuer's father, his own fon, a great part of the living, and referving but a portion to himfelf to live upon; a part of which living fo demitted by the goodfir, being yet poffeffed by the fon's wife, the purfuer's mother, albeit the fon had fold or wadfet the reft thereof, and thereby had left but a fmall part behind him un-annailzied; yet that the goodfir was not holden by law, to give any further part to the purfuer for his entertainment, al-

No 14. The heir purfuing his mo-

ther, a liferenter, for

aliment, must

fhow that apprifings,

which exhauft the re-

fidue of the

eftate, were led before the

defunct's de-

ceafe.