No 176.

a small sum, in respect that the suspender had not intented debito tempore intraannos utiles a summons of restitution in integrum to be reponded against the said bond, nor reduction upon the said revocation and minority; and found, that this reason of suspension upon that revocation, albeit done debito tempore intraannos utiles, interrupted not.

Act. Alt. Nairn.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 585. Durie, p. 539.

*** Auchinleck reports this case.

William Cochran is bound with his father conjunctly to pay John Murray 40 merks, with the annualrent thereof, during the not-payment of the principal. The creditor pursues not the debtor while after his father's decease, and registrates the bond, and charges the defender. He suspends, and alleges, that he made revocation intra annos utiles. It was replied, that a reason of suspension, founded on a naked revocation without reduction, was not relevant.—
The Lords, in respect of the meanness of the matter, and poverty of the party, sustained the reason of suspension, proving his minority.

Aushinleck, MS. p. 134.

1661. July 17. Relict of Robert Fleming against Forresters.

No 177. Reduction of a decree against minors charged to enter heir sustained, though not raised intra annos utiles.

The relict of Robert Fleming, Bailie of Edinburgh, as his executrix, charged. Forresters, the Bailie's sister's daughters, to pay 1600 merks, due by their father, by bond, and decerned against them, as lawfully charged to enter heirs to him 19 years ago, and now eiked to the Bailie's testament by the charger, whereupon she obtained letters of horning summarily. The suspenders alleged, the letters ought to be suspended simpliciter, because they offered a renunciation to be heirs. The charger answered, Non relevat post sententiam et tantum temporis intervallum. The suspender replied, They were minors the time of the decreet, and that the delay of time was, because their uncle never insisted, and it was like, purposed not to insist. The charged answered, They were now majors, and did not reduce intra annos utiles.

THE LORDS admitted the renunciation.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 586. Stair, v. 1. p. 52.

No 178. A reduction on minority and lesion was not sus-

1672. January 25. Sir James Ramsay against Maxwell.

Sir James Ramsay having charged Maxwell of Carnsalloch upon a bond granted by him, he suspends and raises reduction; 1mo, Upon minority and le-