faid James Reith, That the decreet did bear the fum not to be payable till there were delivered, a fufficient letter of flains and remission for the mutilation; but the letters of flains now produced is not fufficient, because it did bear only the remisfion of an accidental mutilation, and this mutilation being of purpole. 2do, It was only fubscribed by Wolmet's heir, and not by his wife and their children.— It was answered for the chargers, That there was no necessity of a letter of flains for mutilation, but the remiffion alone was fufficient. 2do, This fum was granted for afythment to unqualite Wolmet himself in his lifetime, and the decreet mentioned a letter of flains grant by him. 3tio, Any interest his wife or bairns could have, was only for afythment of their damage, which could be none; feeing Wolmet was a landed gentleman, and did not entertain his family by his handy work.—The Lords repelled the reason of suspension; in respect of the answer on the decreet and letters of flains produced, which they found sufficient.—It was also alleged by the said Jean' Douglas, That she ought to be preferred to the said John Boyd, because she had arrested the sum long before his affignation. It is unswered for Boyd, The arrestment was upon a dependence and loosed; and there is yet no decreet upon the dependence.—It is answered for Douglas, That the loofing of the arreftment Would have freed Niddrle, if he had actually paid the fum; but it being yet in his hand, it ought to prefer her as creditor, doing first diligence; especially, seeing Wolmer, the time of the allignation, was rebel and bankrupt. The Lords preferred the affignee, in respect there was no decreet. extracted upon the dependence; referving to the arrefter, after fentence, to reduce upon the prior diligence as accords, &c.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 1. p. 50.

1661. July 16. College of St Andrew's, Supplicant.

THE College of St Andrew's supplicate, That in respect their haill rents were arrested, at the instance of Doctor Gleig, and thereby they were not able to entertain their table and bursers; craved the arrestment to be loosed, without caution, in respect they were an incorporation, for whom no body would be caution.

THE LORDS, after debating the cafe amongst themselves, whether arrestment could be loosed without caution, or upon juratory caution, thought it could not; but in this case, they allowed the same to be loosed, the Masters of the Colleges giving a bond, to bind themselves and their heirs personally, for what should be uplifted by any of them, whereby every person stood caution for his own intromission for the University, they not being otherways bound personaliter ut only secundum officium.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 59. Stair, v. 1. p. 52.

No 127. preferred to the arrester, only because no decree was extract. ed on the dependence. If there had, the arreiter would have been preferred, notwithstanding the loofing, the fum remaining unpaid.

No 128. The rents due to an incorporation being arrefted; caution by the members themselves personally, was received for looning arrest-ment.