** This case is also reported by Durie.

No 19.

Weir of Blackwood being married upon a daughter of the Lord Elphingston. in the time of his marriage begets a bairn upon Helenor Durham, daughter to Sir James Durham, and, after the birth of the bairn, gave a bond to the mother, to provide that bairn to a certain sum; which bond being desired to be registered by the woman against the maker, the Lords found, that it ought not to be registered, and that it could produce no manner of action, neither in favour nor at the instance of the woman, nor at the instance of the bairn, in whose favour it was conceived; seeing it was a writ given for reward of adultery, albeit that cause was not therein expressed; for it was given to one begotten in an adulterous conjunction, which was not allowable; and, therefore, the Lords found that it was null, and could not produce action at no person's instance, being given ob turpem causam, albeit the cause of the turpitude preceded the giving of the bond; for it was alike, being pramium adulterii et turpitudinis.

Act. Stuart & Hamilton.

Alt. Hope

Clerk, Scor. Durie, p. 31.

1042. June 25.

Ross against Robertson.

No 20. Contrary to the above.

ONE Mungo Ross having begotton two daughters upon one Cobertson, thereafter gives her a bond, to pay to her 1000 merks at a term; and, failing of her by decease, before the term, to pay it to their said bairns. The woman being married upon an husband, charges to pay the sum, and the man suspending, that it was given ob turpem causam, for the said cause of adultery; the Lords rejected the reason, and sustained the bond, neither respected they that it was alleged, that the bond was given ob causam adulterii, tanquam causa turpis fuerit; seeing it is said in law, Quod mulier turpiter facit cum sit meretrix, sed cum sit meretrix accipiendo non facit turpiter; though the suspender answered That etiam acceptum ob præmium adulterii auferendum est a muliere; which was repelled: And the suspender further alleging, That, in respect of the tenor of the bond, providing the sum to the bairns, in case the woman die before the term, therefore, and in respect of the cause itself, in all equity, the woman, who is now married on an husband, ought only to have her liferent use of the sum, and that the fee thereof should pertain to her bairns; this allegeance was also repelled, in respect the woman survived the term of payment, and the fee was found to pertain to her.

The Suspender per Lawtie.

Alt. _____

Fol, Dic. v. 2. p. 21. Durie, p. 846.