No 2.

not be adjudged to pertain to the pursuer.—Replied, That the right which stood in William Scot's person, behaved to be adjudged to pertain to him; and he decerned to be insest in the same manner that William was.—The Lords repelled the exception, without prejudice to Drumlanrig's right, prout de jure.

Spottifwood, (Adjudication) p. 9.

1629. February 26.

No 3-In an adjujudication contra bereditatem jacentem, a fingle fummons without continuation is fufficient.

In an action of adjudication, to hear the debtor's heirship goods be decerned, to be declared to pertain to the creditor, against a party, who being convened before, as lawfully charged to enter heir to the debtor, for payment of the debt, had renounced; this action, it was found, needed no continuation, being accessory to the preceding decreet obtained, wherein the defender had renounced: For here no party was convened for delivery of the heirship, but only adjudication craved of the right, to be declared to belong to this creditor, which was, only to put the creditor in the heir's place. (See Stair's Inst. p. 419.)

Durie, p. 439.

Clerk, Hay.

1638. December 19.

Corser against Durie.

No 4. An adjudication upon a renunciation carries all bygones, arifing after the common debtor's death, altho' moveable; this ex necesitate, there being no other method of affecting fuch a fubject.

In an adjudication, umquhile William Durie of Newtown, being debtor by his bond, to one Corfer in Dyfart, of a fum of money; which, being defired to be registrate against Durie, his fon, and his tutors and curators, as lawfully charged to enter heir to his father; who, renouncing to be heir; upon this renunciation, Corfer the creditor, intented action, against this son of his debtor, of adjudication, for adjudging of the right of the lands of Newtown, which pertained to his umquhile father; and whereof he was in possession at the time of his decease; to this pursuer; and consequently, that this defender should be decerned to pay to the purfuer, the mails and duties of the lands intromitted with by him, and his tutors and curators, of all years fince the decease of his father, so far as would fatisfy this purfuer's debt. And the defender alleging, that this form of furmons and process, ought not to be suffained, being far against the practice used in the like cases: For first, the pursuer ought to pursue to hear his debtor's right adjudged; which, being once adjudged, then he might competently, upon that right so adjudged, pursue for the mails and duties thereof; but to purfue for the same, before he had the right established in his person, and before it were tried if that right were of that nature, which might produce an action for the duties of these lands; and that sentences were given first thereon; it never has been heard; for adjudication is a process for executing of a sentence. and refembles in this point a comprising; and the intenting of a process of comprifing, or denouncing to comprife, before the comprifing were expede, and to-

No 4.

tally compleat, could never be a ground to pursue for mails and duties; no more in a process of adjudication, can the mails be acclaimed before the sentence of adjudication were first given. The Lords repelled the allegance; and found this process might be suitained, both to crave the right of the lands, to be adjudged in one summons, and the profits decerned also; the first being found to crave the bygone mails uplifted, even as in a non-entry, the lands being decerned, or craved to be decerned, to be found in non-entry; the donator in the same summons may crave the profits to be liquidate, and decerned; and, as in a declarator of escheat, the general declarator may be sought for declaring the rebellion; so also the special declarator for the particular profits of the lands, in one and the same summons. See July 21. 1736. betwirt these parties*.

In this process, the pursuer's procurators having past from any craving of a particular adjudication, either of lands, teinds, or any writ of the same; and only craving generally, adjudication of all right which was competent to the defunct, in the defunct's person, to any lands, teinds, goods, or gear whatsoever, generally. This general adjudication was sustained, although craving nothing specially to be adjudged.

Act. Advocatus.

Alt. Nicolfon, Gilmore and Dunlop. Clerk, Scot. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 3. Durle, p. 867.

1680. January 27. M

M'CALLA against Coustoun and Guill, &c.

MALCOLM M'CALLA having pursued James Goustoun, as representing his father, for payment of his father's debt, as charged to enter heir to him; he rerenounced to be heir, and was affoilzied; and M'Calla obtained adjudication, contra bereditatem jacentem; but thereafter, Coustoun enters heir to his father, and affigns his right to one Guill; and they jointly pursue reduction of the adjudication, and offered to satisfy the debt. It was answered, That Coustoun having once renounced to be heir, had no recourse against that party, to whom he renounced, nor any reversion of his adjudication, which was competent to other creditors adjudging, and no way to Guill as assignee to Coustoun.

THE LORDS found Couftoun having renounced or affigned, he had no revertion, or recourse to satisfy M*Calla's adjudication.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 3. Stair, b. 2. p. 749.

No 5.
An Adjudication being led contra bereditatem jacentem, upon a renunciation; the heir afterwards entering, found to have no right, to redeem the

adjudication.

^{*} The former dispute here referred to, between the same parties, Durie p. 820.; regarded a mere matter of fact: Whether the defender had intromitted with rents, in right of his father, so as to subject himself as heir; or in right of another person; his father having no title to the property?