

(Pais periculo petentis.)

No 20.

cefs the LORDS found, that no defalcation ought to be made of the year's duty payable to the superior, for the entering of the comprifer, through the lands being liferented by the relict of the debtor, from whom the comprising was deduced; but declared, that seeing this defender was in poffeffion, by virtue of her liferent, as acquired by him from her, or as in her name, that during her lifetime, that duty fhould not be paid to the superior, but ordained the comprifer to find caution prefently to pay that year's duty, immediately after the liferenter's deceafe.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 13. Durie, p. 804.

1636. March 29.

COWAN against L. ELPHINGSTOUN.

No 21.
The fame
found.

ONE Cowan having comprifed Bruce of Polknavie's lands, and charging the L. Elphingftoun superior, to infeft him therein, he fufpends; *alleging*, that his vaffal was at the horn, againft whom the comprising was deduced, and albeit he was not year and day rebel; yet the rebellion being *in curfu*, he as superior, by any entry of the comprifer, ought not to be prejudged of his cafualty of the vaffal's liferent, when it fhould fall; this reafon was found no impediment to ftay the comprifer's entry, without prejudice always of the superior's cafualty, when it fhould fall out *prout de jure*, which was not meet to be tried, nor difcuffed in this place: And another reafon was, that he could not enter him, while he were paid by the comprifer, of all the bygone feu-duties owing by Polknavie, and for which he was at the horn at the superior's inftance; this reafon was alfo repelled, becaufe the comprifer was not found perfonally fubject to pay them, but the superior might point the ground therefor, which was referved to him. *Item*, The superior claiming a year's duty, the comprifer *alleged*, that he ought to pay no greater duty to the superior, for receiving of him, but only the quantity of the feu duty, as the vaffal's right bears, his holding being a feu, for paying of fourteen bolls of victual yearly, which he is content to pay, being liquidate, and no further. THE LORDS repelled the allegiance, and found that the comprifer ought to pay for his year's duty to the superior, an year's avail of the lands, as the fame is commonly worth to be paid by a tenant, and that the offer of a year's duty of the feu-duty is not enough.

Act. Cuninghame.

Alt. Stuart.

Clerk, Scot.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 13. Durie, p. 809.

* * * In an adjudication in implement of a difpofition, however, it was found, that the above privilege, in favour of apprifers, did not take place; for it was contended, that although fuperiors are obliged to infeft apprifers *salvo jure cujuslibet*, where they get a year's rent; as alfo, ordinary adjudgers for liquid debts,

(Pars pericula potentis.)

favore creditorum; these considerations have no place in adjudications in implementation. See M'DOUGALL against L. GLENTARCHIE, 24th June 1663, p. 51. v. I. Quarto Dictionary from Stair.

No 21.

1667. February 9. ELIZABETH RAMSAY against KER of Westnisbet.

ELIZABETH RAMSAY having pursued an adjudication of certain lands, upon the renunciation of Barbara Nisbet, insists upon that member of the summons against the superior John Ker, that he should receive and infect her; who alleged no process, unless the pursuer show the right of the former vassal, whose heir had renounced; for the pursuer can be in no better case than the apparent heir, who, if she were craving to be entered, behoved to instruct her predecessor's right. The pursuer answered, That her adjudication against the defender, as superior, is in common form, which has ever been sustained upon good ground, because a creditor has no interest to have his debtor's rights, when he is seeking adjudication, which must be his title to demand the rights; but the superior is obliged by law to receive the adjudger, without instructing any right farther than the adjudication, which has been frequently so found in the case of apprifers.

THE LORDS having considered the case, and parallel with that of apprifers, found this difference, that superiors got a year's rent for receiving apprifers, but not adjudgers; yet in respect of the common custom of these summonses, they repelled the defence, and decerned the superior to receive the pursuer, *salvo jure cujuslibet et suo*.

Fol. Dic. v. I. p. 13. Stair, v. I. p. 440.

EXCEPTIONS against adjudication after it is led, how proponable. See COMPROMISE.

ALLOWANCE of APPRISING, and ABBREVIATE of ADJUDICATION.

1630. July 27. GILMOR against GILMOR.

A COMPRISING was sustained, upon which infection had followed, although not allowed; because the LORDS allowance is only craved, to the effect, that charges may be obtained thereupon against the superior.

*Fol. Dic. v. I. p. 13. From Auchinleck, (COMPRISING.) MS. **

No 1.

* This MS. is not in the Advocate's Library.