

1635. January 29. HAMILTON against WILSON.

IN a double pointing betwixt Sir James Hamilton of Broomhill, and one Wilson, against whom the Tenants of Lauder had suspended upon double pointing, Wilson claimed the mails of the lands from the Tenants, by virtue of his comprising; and the other *alleging*, That in the first end of the farms he ought to be answered of his annualrent *primo loco*, seeing he was infeft therein long before the comprising; and the compriſer *answering*, That he had only right to claim the duties of the lands as heritor, and the annualrenter could not go therein betwixt him and the possessors; and for his annualrent he had his action safe to him, to point or comprise the ground therefor;—the LORDS preferred the annualrenter to the compriſer, for answering of the annualrent in the first end of the farms addebted by the Tenants, and found that the compriſer had no right but to the superplus. And it being further *alleged*, That the annualrenter, before this year's term of payment of these duties controverted, had made requisition to his debtor for payment of his principal sum, whereupon the annualrent foresaid was redẽemable, whereby he could not come back again to seek his annualrent out of the land having made the sum moveable; this allegiance was repelled; for it was found, that, notwithstanding of the requisition, he might cloth himself with his infeftment, and might pass from the requisition, so long as the sum was neither paid by the debtor, nor yet prepared and consigned, or offered to this party, conform to the requisition.

Alt. Gilmour.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 355. Durie, p. 745.

1663. January 24. GRAHAM against CLARK and ROSS.

IN a process betwixt Graham, compriſer of the lands of Newark, and John Clark, and John Ross, compriſers also, there being a comprising led *anno* 1651, whereupon there is infeftment, though the act of Parliament appoints all comprising, led within year and day after the first effectual comprising, to come in *pari passu*, being led since the first day of January 1652, yet, seeing the comprising led *in anno* 1651, turns to the nature of a comprising of the legal reversion of the first effectual comprising led *anno* 1652; it is in the same case as if it had been deduced immediately thereafter.

THE LORDS therefore brought in that comprising, as if it had been deduced *anno* 1662.

1663. July.—IN the foresaid process betwixt Graham and Clark in January last, the LORDS found, that a comprising being led for sums of money,

No 15.

An apprising was deduced, not upon the real right of infeftment of annualrent, but upon the personal obligation after requisition. This was not found a real extinction of the infeftment.

No 16.

In conformity with the above.