No 2. the one party would not dispute, that the other party should have sentence upon his right, even as if the suspender had insisted for declaring his preference in his right.

Act. Nicolson.

Alt. ---

Clerk, Gibson. .

The like was done December 20. 1642, in a double poinding betwixt Lady Cowfield and the Lady Bancrieff, where Stuart was for the one party, and Oliphant for the other.

Clerk, Hay.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 593. Durie, p. 480.

No 3. 1632. December 12. Brown against Lothian.

WHEN a suspension of double poinding is raised by any, the suspender thereof may not pass therefrom in prejudice of any of the parties by whom he allegeth himself to be troubled; and though he would do it, yet the parties may crave their rights to be discussed, which the Lords will grant.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 593. Spottiswood, (Suspension.) p. 325.

1678. February 27. CAMPBELL, &c. against BAIN, &c.

ONE M'Millan, a drover, having bought cattle from Sir James M'Donald, and his son Donald M'Donald, he did grant two bonds for the price, one to Sir James, and the other to Donald, in both which, the name of Mr John Bain of Pitcairly being contained as creditor, he charged M'Millan, who suspended on double poinding; and in discussing of the suspension, compearance was made for some creditors of Sir James and Donald M'Donald, who arrested the sums in M'Millan's hands, as being due to Sir James and Donald M'Donald their debtors, and did offer to prove by Mr John Bain's cath, that the bond was blank ab initio, in the hands and power of Sir James and Donald M'Donalds. and before his name was filled up, they did arrest; whereupon he did depone, that the bond granted to Donald M'Donald was blank in the creditor's name ab initio, and that his name was not fitled up till such a day, which was after the arrestments. But as to Sir James M'Donald's bond, he deponed, that he had reason to believe his name was filled up in it ab initio, in satisfaction of a debt due to him by Sir James, and that Sir James had so written to him. -"THE LORDS having advised the oath, found that it proved the reason as to Donald's bond, and therefore preferred the arresters; but found, that it proved not the reason as to Sir James's bond, and therefore preferred Pitcairly, albeit the bond was not delivered to him till after the arrestments; and found, that

No 4. By act 3d, Pakl. 1584, the preferable creditor who neglects to appear in a multiplepoinding when lawfully cited, and allows a decree of preference to go out in favour of others, shall, in a reduction, have no claim for rents, &cc. intromitted with medio tempore by the other party, but only for the sors; at the same time, if any xents or anmualrents remain unupr lifted, these will belong to the prefer-