No 114. alleged the factor had goods of his in his hands beyond the amount. This plea was repelled, unless he wou'd allege that the goods were sold, and the price in the hands of the factor.

thereto, that the said cedent being the defender's factor, was full-handed with as many wares pertaining to the excipient, as would in price extend to far more than would pay this sum libelled, with which he was content to compense the sum; The Lords repelled the allegeance, and found the same had no relevant ground of compensation, because the defender alleged not, that the factor had sold the goods, and had the prices thereof in his own hand, quo casu it being so, the compensation was receivable; but the factor having only the goods to be sold, as occasion might offer, he was only obliged to the defender to compt; so that if the goods were not sold, he could be no further obliged, but to deliver the same to the pursuer again, and that could not compense the defender's ticket of a liquid sum, to be paid at a precise time contained in the bond.

Clerk, Hay.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 167. Durie, p. 592.

1632. November 27. LAIRD AITKEN'S Daughter against Home.

No 115.

A sum due to a pupil was not allowed to be compensated by the debtor, with a sum due to him by her father, her administrator.

LAIRD AITKEN's daughter, who had a certain sum of money left to her by her good-dame, and the sum lent to Mr James Home, minister of , with consent of her father, as lawful administrator to her, charges the said Mr James for the sum. He suspends, alleging, That her father, who was lawful administrator, was addebted to him in as much as he was charged for; and, since she was a pupil, and could give no discharge, but her father, who was lawful administrator, must receive the money and give discharge for her, and seeing he has as much in his own hands already as might pay his daughter, the suspender ought to have compensation. To which it was replied by the pursuer, That the money charged for was the minor's proper money, and not given to her by her father, but by her good-dame; no debt owing by her father could compense that her debt, which was neither liquid nor inter easdem personas. The Lords repelled the reason of suspension, and found no compensation.

Auchinleck, MS. p. 30.

1639. March 16. Forsyth's Assignee against Captain Coupland.

No 116. Compensation proponed by a debtor against an assignee, was found relevant, upon a debt of the cedent's, purchased by the debtor before the date of assignee's

Captain Coupland being debtor to William Forsyth in 1000 merks, and being charged by William Forsyth's assignee for payment; he suspends upon this reason, that Forsyth was debtor by two bonds to William Ogston in 1000 merks, and 500 merks; the right of which bonds and sums was devolved in the person of the suspender, and he was content to compense this debt, for which he was charged, with so much of the sums foresaid pro tanto, owing by the charger's cedent, against whom, as the compensation would have been relevant to have met himself, so must it be against this assignee. The Lords