SOLIDUM ET PRO RATA..

## 1630. February 2.

# KER against LIMPIDLAW.

A DECREET bearing in the narrative, that the persons against whom the same was obtained, were bound conjunctly and severally to pay the sum, and in that conclusion decerning the said persons to pay the 'said sum ; it being suspended at the instance of the said persons, alleging that each one of them should pay but their own parts, in respect they are only decerned *conjunctim*; the Lords found that the conclusion of the summons should be ruled by the antecedent.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 387. Auchinleck MS. p. 58.

1630. March 17.

#### SEMPLE against M'NEISH.

Two executors confirmed having obtained decree against a debtor, decerning him to pay to them, but not conjunctly and severally; each of them can only discharge his own half; so that one of their discharges, upon payment of the whole, was only sustained for his own half.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 387.

## \* \* This case is No. 75. p. 2739. voce COMPETENT.

1675. February 9. PRARIS against CAPTAIN MARTINE and his OWNERS.

IAN Praris, master of the ship called The Brown Fish of Druntoun, did obtain reduction of the Admiral's decreet, condemning that ship as prize; by which the Captain and owners of the privateer were decerned to restore the ship and loading, or such a price therefore; and some of the owners being charged, they suspend on this reason, that they are charged for the whole sum, and are only liable for their share, not being decerned by the decreet conjunctly and severally, or in solidum; and because by the Lord's decreet they had ground of suspicion whereupon to bring up the ship, and so it cannot be esteemed as a spuilzie. It was answered, that seeing the decreet neither expresses in solidum or pro rata, it must be understood secundum naturam negotii; so that all the owners of the privateer must be liable in solidum, because the suspicion might restrict the spuilzie, quoad the privilege of oath in litem, &c. yet it remains wrongous intromission. 2. Partners are liable in solidum, and the owners are partners. 3. Exercitors are liable in soli. dum, for the Captain or master of the ship are in-put by them. 4. Correi debendi are liable in soldium, especially in facto indivisibili ; and they being obliged to restore the ship, must be all obliged in solidum, the ship neither being species nor quantities, but unicum corpus, and the price comes only in the place thereof, and must be liable in

No. 119.

No. 118.

### No. 120. A prizebeing

adjudged by the Admiral, and assoilzied by the Lords, and having been sold, all the owners of the Privateer which took the ship so sold were found liable in solidum for the price thereof, though they were not . decerned against conjunctly and severally.

14717