Michael Balfour, and for the life-time of two heirs, and two nineteen years tacks thereafter. The tack is set to the person with consent of the Provost and rest of the Prebenders of Kirkheugh, and with consent of William Earl Marishall, and the said Mr. William Oliphant, patron of the said Kirk of Strawbrock, alternatis vicibus. The tack has no entry, and is dated 19th June, 1617. It was alleged for the defender, no process upon this tack, because it is null in respect it wants an entry. To which it was answered, that no entry being expressed in the tack, it must be conferred to the time and date of the tack, in respect by virtue thereof the said Mr. William, assignee to the tacksman, has apprehended possession ever since the date of the tack, and the party defender alleged no right in his person of the said teinds. The Lords repelled the exception in respect of the reply.

Auchinleck MS. p. 233.

* Durie's report of this case is No. 216. p. 11435. voce PRESUMPTION.

1629. December 16. CHRISTIAN HOME against HELEN RAMSAY.

Christian Home being infeft in life-rent in four husband lands in Edrom, pursued a removing from these lands against Helen Ramsay. Alleged, That the pursuer and umquhile Robert Home, her husband, by a contract, did oblige them to set a tack of the same lands to the defender for all the days of his life. Replied, That the contract was conditional, bearing, that in case Elizabeth Home, daughter to the defender, and Mr. David Home of Crossrig, (brother to the said umquhile Robert) should marry with consent of the said umquhile Robert Home, that then he obliged him to set a life-rent tack to the defender; but if it happened that she should marry without his consent, then and in that case, the contract to be null in that point; and so, unless the defender would allege that Elizabeth had married with Robert's consent, the obligement was null, in so far as concerned the tack. Duplied, It must be presumed that his consent was given to her marriage, in so far as she was married with his knowlege, being thrice proclaimed, and he never opponing against it, neither at that time, nor after while he lived. The Lords, notwithstanding, repelled the exception, in respect of the reply, except the defender did offer that Robert's express consent was obtained to the marriage.

Spottiswood, p. 287.

* Turie's report of this case is No. 22. p. 2964. voce CONDITION.

1630. January 19.

Ker against LAWRIE.

Walter Ker takes a verbal tack from Andrew Lawrie in Stirling, of seven acres

of land for the space of seven years, and promised to receive from the said Andrew

No. 13. Promise to grant a tack.

No. 12. Obligation to grant a tack.

No. 11.