676

No 4. not decide, that arreftment could not proceed upon a bond, before action on it. whereupon no fentence was recovered, or any action intented the time of the arrestment, was but a naked intimation of the party's right to him, against whom the arrestment was execute; and nevertheless the same was a sufficient ground, when sentence should be recovered against the principal debtor, for whose debt the arrestment was execute, to produce action against him, in whose hands the goods were arrested, after sentence obtained against the debtor, and that nothing could be done in prejudice of the arrestment; albeit at the using thereof, there was neither dependence nor decreet obtained against the principal debtor, but that arrestments in such cases were as effectual for moveables, as inhibitions for immoveables.

Act. Lawtie. Alt. —. Clerk, Hay.

Durie, p. 354-

1629. March 26. W

WILSON against BOYD.

No 5. An extract of letters of horning and arrestment, with the principal executions of arrestment, found not fufficient to verify the arreitment; the principal letters of arrestment being loft.

Steven Boyd and one Wilson, two of Andrew Kellie's creditors, were striving about L. 400 pertaining to Andrew, which of them should be preferred. They had both arrested, but Steven Boyd having lost his principal letters of arrestment, produced only an extract of letters of horning and arrestment, with the principal executions of the arrestment, subscribed by the messenger.—The Lords found, That albeit the extract would suffice for the horning, yet it was not enough to verify the arrestment.

Spottiswood, (Arrestment.) p. 18.

1710. January 21.

ALEXANDER FORBES of Ballogie, against James Catanach, Merchant in Aberdeen.

No 6. A Sheriff cannot interpole his authority to the decree of magif-trates of a town, fo as to found argefiment.

In a competition of the creditors of Alexander Forbes of Craigie, James Catanach having, upon a decreet of the magistrates of Aberdeen against Craigie, obtained a summar precept from the Sheriff, for arresting in the hands of Craigie's debtors within the shire, and accordingly arrested:—The Lords found the arrestment null; in respect it was unwarrantable in the Sheriff to interpose his authority summarily to the baillie's decreet, by giving a precept of arrestment thereon, without citing the party decerned.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 53. Forbes, p. 387.