be used by the pursuer, as witness to prove the summons, anent the defender's having of these writs libelled, and that they ought to depone thereupon, and that it was no competent objection to repel them a testimonio, that the defender was their client, cui patrocinabantur in boc eadem caufa, against whom they could not be compelled to bear witness, in that which their client had communicated to them in secret, and thereby to publish against him, and to his prejudice, that which was either fpoken, or shown to them under trust, which, if they should be fubject to do, by compelling them to depone upon their oaths as witnesses, they could not but incur a great fuspicion of prevarication. And it was defired, that the Lords would confider the confequence and preparative thereof, which tends to force advocates to detect the fecrets of their client's cause; which allegeance was repelled, and found, that they ought to be witness; in doing whereof, the LORDS found, that thereby they incurred no fuspicion of prevarication; for though they were not holden to detect the fecrets of the cause intrusted to them, which is to be understood, anent the counsel and advice given by them to the client, for the best and most lawful means of his defence, and prosecuting of his cause; yet that thereby they could not be freed, of being witness upon any thing libelled, and admitted to probation against their clients, being found relevant by the judge, confifting in their knowledge, and whereof possibly there was no other means of probation but by them.

No 5. fender's having the writs, although he was the defender's counsel in the cause.

Act. Nicolfon & Lermonth.

Alt. Aiton. Clerk, Hay. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 25. Durie, p. 396.

1629. December 15. Cornelius Paterson against Captain Alexander.

A DECREET given before the Admiral against a stranger, being desired to be reduced, at the stranger's instance, asbeit he was neither present within the country assisting the pursuit, nor a procuratory given by him to pursue, yet this action was sustained, seeing the same advocates compeared for him, and insisted in this reduction, (who were ordinary advocates in the Session) who compeared for him, and defended in the decreet obtained against him, before the Admiral, desired now to be reduced: But it was ordained, that he should produce a procuratory authorizing the pursuit, before litiscontestation, and caution should be found to that effect.

No 6.
The Lords furtained action at a ftranger's inftance, though no mandate was produced, his advocate finding caution to produce it before litifconteftation.

Act. Lawtie & Paip.

Alt. ——. Fal. Dic. v. 1. p. 25. Durie, p. 474.

1630. March 23. The LAIRD of Wardis against his CREDITORS.

THE L. of Wardis craving protestation against a summons, pursued against him by his Creditors, who were insest in his lands of Wardis, and which lands were

No. 7..
A defender craving protestation;