No 406.

tors, were not obligatory against the parties acted without their own subscription: This allegeance was repelled, and the act was found sufficient, albeit not subscribed by the Magistrates and Council of the town, who were parties obliged, but only by their Clerk, seeing it was an act judicially done, and registrated in their court-books, and that the pursuer offered to prove, that at the time of the making of this act, the Magistrates and Council of Perth were in use to act themselves after this manner, and to grant obligations to diverse parties, by acts only subscribed by their Town Clerk, as this act was, and not to oblige themselves by their own subscriptions, and that these acts so made have taken effect and been satisfied by them, it being their custom to bind themselves after that manner at that time; which reply the Lorps found relevant to maintain this act and pursuit libelled founded thereon; neither were the Lords moved with the alleged practices, whereby acts made and subscribed by the Town Clerk, wherein other debtors were obliged to their creditors, were found null, as not being subscribed by the persons thereby obliged, because there was difference in obligations made by one party to another, in which deeds the Clerk could have no other respect than as due to another common Notary, whose subscription could not bind the debtor, whereas the case is otherwise when the Town bind themselves to a party, and where the Clerk in these cases is their public officer and their servant, and where they were in use to bind themselves effectually to others after that same manner.

1628. March 26. LORD LOVAT against SHERIFF of NAIRN.

A SHERIFF having caused a person enact himself with a cautioner to compear before the Justices, and underlie the law for murder, under a penalty, this was not found probative, not being subscribed by the party.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 249. Durie.

*** This case is No 367. p. 7661. voce JURISDIDTION.

No 408. An act subscribed by a sheriff-clerk only, bearing that a wife had judicially ratified a deed, found

No 407.

1635. January 22.

BELL against L. Mow.

THE L. Mow having wadset his lands to one Bell, who setting the lands in back-tack for payment of a back-tack duty, and after the decease of the L. Mow, arresting the duties of the lands in the tenants' hands, and pursuing them thereupon to make them forthcoming, Nisbet, relict of the Laird Mow, who was liferenter of the lands before the wadset, compearing, and defending with her said right, the pursuer *replying*, that she had consented to the contract of