lands in anno 1574; and alleged, That she was infeft in liferent of the lands, and so had good interest to stay her tenants from being removed. Replied, That her sasine could give her no interest, because it was null, being a naked sasine without any adminicle. Duplied, That it was relative to a contract of or marriage. Triplied, That was only the assertion of a notary, which could not make the sasine subsist, unless she did prove positively, that there was such a contract of marriage. The Lords urged the liferenter to allege possession by virtue of that sasine, which she condescended upon thus: That she was in possession by labouring of the ground, or uplifting of the mails and duties diverse years before the pursuer's warning. To this answered, Not relevant. unless she would allege possession ever since her husband's decease (which was about twelve years before) or at least before the pursuer's apprising. Yet the LORDS sustained the sasine, as it was fortified with the possession foresaid, she being in possessorio.

Spottiswood, (REMOVING.) p. 285.

GOODLET against ADAMSON. 1628. November 22.

A SENTENCE and ward of court of a royal burgh, whereby a man was recognosced, by testimony of witnesses, to be eldest son and heir to the defunct, upon which his procurator took instruments, was found not to prove him to be heir, unless sasine had followed upon the said act.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 246. Durie. Spottiswood.

** This case is No 82. p. 9737, voce PASSIVE TITLE.

1629. March 7.

YEOMAN against STUART.

A SASINE of an annualment of L. 20 to be taken out of a tenement in Dundee granted to the pursuer by the Bailies of Dundee by hasp and staple, as heir to his father, who was infeft therein upon his author's resignation, mentioned in his said father's sasine, proporting the said resignation; likeas, his said father's author's sasine purported to be given by the heritor of the land to the said author of the said annualrent, to be taken out of the said land, there being no other adminicle, neither of the first sasine, nor none of the subsequent sasines, but the assertion of the notary to the sasine, who was town-clerk; and there being no other preceding writ for the warrant thereof, nor no possession had of the said annualrent at any time since the first sasine, which was dated and given 38 years since, the sasine foresaid given to the pursher by hasp and staple, with the other sasines preceding, as said is, was sustained to produce action at the pursuer's instance, (albeit he was not retoured heir in the said an-

No 386-

No 385.

No 387.

A sasine by hasp and

staple granted to an heir

of the credi-

tor in an infeftment of and

annualrent,

insist in a poinding of

the ground.

found a suf-> i ficient title to

12513