MINOR.

19999

SECT. VIII.

Lesion in taking Legal Steps.

Reidheuch against Reidheuch. 1562. May 14.

GIF ony tutor raisis brevis, and causis the pupill be servit as air to his predecessour, or persewis in judgment ony actioun in the pupillis name, as air, nevertheless the pupill thairefter may refuse and renounce to be air.

Balfour, (HEIRS and SUCCESSORS.) No 19. p. 229.

ALEXANDER DRUMMOND against BESSIE LOGAN. 1628. June 28.

A MINOR being confirmed executor-dative to her father, and the inventory given up by her mother in her minority, is pursued for her father's debts. The minor offers to renounce all debt of the executry; but the pursuer alleged, that she cannot now renounce after confirmation. The Lorps found she might renounce rebus integris.

Fol. Dic, v. 1. p. 582. Auchinleck, MS. p. 131.

*** This case is also reported by Spottiswood :

In an action pursued by Alexander Drummond against Logan, spouse to Maxwell of Hills, as executrix confirmed to her father, for registration of a bond of her father's, the Lords found, that, in respect her father's testament dative was given up by her mother, she herself being minor, and that her mother had confirmed her executrix in her minority, and that she had never had any intromission with her father's goods, that therefore she might yet, being minor, renounce to be executrix, rebus integris, and therefore assoilzied.

Spottiswood, (Executors.) p. 122.

JOHN M'DOUGAL against MARGARET KENNEDY. January 25. 1628.

JOHN M'DOUGAL having got a bond of 300 merks registrated against Margaret Kennedy, as lawfully charged to enter heir to her father, and afterwards having charged her, she suspended, upon this reason, that she was minor the time of the giving of the decreet without curators, and now having chosen them, she desired to be reponed, and consigned a renunciation; which the

Vol. XXII.

50 E

No 127.

No 128.

No 126. The pupil may renounce, not-

withstanding

any thing

done by his tutor.

MINOR.

9000

No 128. Lords received by way of suspension, albeit she had not intented a reduction of that decreet of registration.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 582. Spottiswood, (RESTITUTIO IN INTEGRUM.) p. 300.

1631. June 11. TAILZIFER against DRUMMOND.

ONE Drummond being convened as lawfully charged to enter heir to Sir Alexander Drummond his father, for payment of a debt due by his father, at the instance of one Tailzifer in Edinburgh; and the minor compearing by his procurator, and alleging the debt to be paid, and litiscontestation being made therein, and a term assigned to him to prove the same; at the term of probation the pursuer calling the act, and desiring the term to be satisfied, the defender's procurators declared, that they would pass from that exception ; and seeing the defender was convened only as lawfully charged to enter heir, he offered to produce a renunciation, subscribed by the minor and his curators, renouncing to be heir to him rebus integris, seeing he never had intromission. And the pursuer replying, that, in hoc statu⁺processus, he cannot be heard to renounce, after proponing of a peremptor of payment, and after the term was past assigned to prove it; for he alleged, that that made rem non integram, and it were dangerous to rescind litiscontestation done partibus comparentibus upon such an offer; for albeit, after sentence against a party, as lawfully charged to enter heir, the Lords in suspension will permit the said party to renounce, notwithstanding of the decreet so given against him; yet it is not alike in this case, where there is an exception proponed and admitted, of payment of the debt, which perimit totam causam; the LORDS, notwithstanding of the act, found that the minor, in hoc statu processus, might be heard to renounce, for he might be heard, without all controversy, to reduce upon that reason, he being minor, and before sentence, at the first term, the Lords thought the minor might be reponed without further process; for a major, after sentence, is heard to renounce, by suspension of the decreet given only against him as lawfully charged, albeit he compeared to renounce before, and did it not.

Act. Cunninghame.

 Alt. Primrose.
 Clerk, Scot.

 Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 582.
 Durie, p. 590.

1633. November 19.

GUTHRIE against HARDROPE.

NINIAN GUTHRIE charges William Hardrope to enter heir to his father, being a pupil, and obtains decreet against him as lawfully charged to enter heir. This decreet is suspended, and another decreet is obtained against the suspension. Ninian comprises the land pertaining to the said William, and obtains

A minor pursued as charged to enter heir, offered to instruct payment of the debt, but having failed to do so, when the term came to be circumduced, he was still allowed to give in a renunciation by him and his curators.

No 1 30.

No 120.