## BASTARD.

by reafon of the baftardy of the father only: So that in fuch cafes of the King's fucceffion, there muft two things concur, viz. That the flock was a baftard, and that the whole pofterity and iffue proceeding of that flock, albeit begotten in lawful marriage, be deceafed; for, as long as any lives begotten by the baftard in a lawful marriage, the King cannot be *ultimus hærer*; and where the lawful defcent fails, there is no other collateral or afcendant fucceffion of the baftard; and fo the right pertains to the King.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 92. Durie, p. 215.

## SECT. V.

In what Situations a Bastard enjoys the Power of Testing.

1628. February 27.

HAY against BISHOP of DUNKELD.

ANDREW HAY, executor nominate to umquhile William Hay of Strollie, and having licence to purfue, convenes the Bishop of Dunkell, as debtor to the faid defunct. It was excepted by the faid Bishop, that the defunct was bastard, and had no power to make a testament, except he had been legitimate. To the whilk it was answered, That the defender had no interest to allege the same, seeing the defunct was not declared bastard, nor nae gift taken of his bastardy; and it were a dangerous practice, if this should be objected against every defunct and their executors.— The LORDS repelled the exception.

Auchinleck, MS. p. 19.

1628. March 8.

1.111

## MUIR and THOMSON against KINCAID.

No 8. Found, that

• No 7.

a baftard, though not legitimate, may leave tutors to his children, who are to fucceed to his effects, and that he may nominate either of them executors,

## 1349

No 6.

No. 8.

with other curators ad hane litem; and further, he alkged, That the defunct died a baftard, not legitimate, and fo had no power to make a teftament ; likeas Robert Kincaid has obtained from the King a tutory dative. Both these allegeances were repelled, and the pursuit fustained, at the pupil's inflance authorifed with one, without necessity of authorising by any other concourse, or new giving of curators; and it was found, albeit the defunct was a bastard, and not legitimate, he might leave tutors to his bairns, gotten by him in lawful marriage, and who were to fucceed to his means, and whom he might nominate executors; notwithstanding that the defender alleged, that a bastard not legitimate, albeit having bairns gotten by him in lawful marriage, had not testamenti factionem; and that if he nominated his bairns executors to him, yet their fucceffion, and coming to his means, would not be ratione testamenti, but ratione sanguinis, whichwas repelled; for if the baftard had more bairns gotten in marriage than one, and if he should make one of them his executor, that bairn, nominate executor, would have right only to that which behoved to come to him, jure et ratione testamenti, et non jure sanguinis; for hoc jure sanguinis, they would all fucceed alike, and not he alone, who was nominate executor.  $\sim 11$ 

But here it is to be also remembered, that there was no declarator upon the defunct's baftardy. See Solidum et PRO RATA. See TUTOR and PUPIL.

WALLACE against MUIR.

Alt. Niebe. Clork, Hay. Fol. Die. v. 1. p. 92. Durie, p. 356.

1629.

July 7:

In a fpecial declarator of baftardy, it was found; That the relict of the baftard had good right to the equal half of the baftard's goods, defired to be declared; and that the donatar had not right to the whole goods, but only to the other half thereof: Alfo the baftard having *testamenti factionem*, by grant from the King, and having made a teftament in writ, and having thereafter left a legacy of 200 merks to his wife, whereby the claimed right to that part, at leaft to 100 pound thereof, whereunto the retrenched the faid legacy: It was found, That the leaving of this legacy, after he had made teftament, might be proven by witneffes, albeit the legacy was of a greater quantity, feeing it was reftricted to 100 pounds by the legatar. See No 5. p. 1347.

July 9. 1629. In this action, mentioned 7th July 1629, it was found, that an heritable bond bearing annualrent, made by the debtor to the baftard, came under the general gift of the faid baftard's gift of baftardy, gifted to the donatar; and that there was no neceffity that the bond, or fuch others of the like nature, should be gifted by any particular gift of the fame in special; likeas another donatar who had a special gift of that heritable bond, whereupon that declarator was fought by this general donatar, after general declarator obtained and decerned, upon the faid gift of before, compearing and alleging, that he only should be preferred to that heritable bond by virtue of his gift thereof; this allegeance

No 9. If a baftard obtain from the King, power of tefting, the legatary will be preferable to the donatary of baftardy.

~

1350