ARRESTMENT.

Upon what Debts Arrestment may be Founded.

1628. March 7.

WILSON against L. DRUMLANRIG.

No 18. Arreftment found competent, as well as poinding, upon a bond, heritable by an obligation to pay annualrent; though inch bonds were not at this time held to be arreftable.

JAMES WILSON writer, against L. Drumlanrig, purfues to make arrested goods furthcoming, for fatisfying of a debt owing to the purfuer by Archibald Douglas, brother to the Lord Torchorwald, for payment whereof certain fums owing by the faid L. Drumlanrig to the faid Archibald, were arrefted by the faid purfuer. the bond being conceived in form of an heritable obligation, made by the faid Archibald to the faid Wilfon, purfuer, obliging him to pay annualrent for the fum while the re-payment. Upon this bond the purfuer's arrestment being raifed and executed, and it being controverted, and *alleged* by the defender. That the purfuer's debt being by an heritable bond, no arreftment thereupon could be fuftained, feeing arreftments could not be executed, but for moveable fums : This allegeance was repelled, and the arreftment allowed, albeit raifed upon an heritable bond; for, as the party might have poinded therefor, fo he might arreft; but if the bond had contained that requisition should have preceded before the debtor should pay the fum, boc earn the Lords inclined that no arrestment should be execute therefor, until the time that the fum had been made moveable by a preceding requifition; but it is thought, that heritable fums owing by heritable bonds, may not be arrefted, although arveftments may be raifed upon heritable bonds by the creditor, to whom these heritable sums are owing.

Clerk, Scot.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 54. Durie, p. 355.

*** Spottifwood mentions the fame cafe thus :

JAMES WILSON purfued the Lord Drumlanrig for making of fome money furthcoming, which he had arrefted in his hands.—Alleged, The arreftment could not be fuffained, becaufe it was upon an heritable bond, which could not be arrefted before it was made moveable.—The Lords repelled the allegeance, becaufe, as he might have poinded upon his bond, fo he might arreft; the bond containing no claufe of requifition, nor any infeftment following thereupon, but being only conceived after an heritable form. Indeed, an heritable bond cannot be arrefted passive; but he in whofe favours an heritable bond is given, may arreft active upon it.

Spottiswood, (ARRESTMENT.) p. 17.