(Due ex patte.)

1676. December 20...

CARNEGIE of Balmachie against Durham of Anachie.

No II. The fame found.

THE LORDS found, That albeit by the common law, annualrent be due for tocher; yet, by our custom, it is not payable, unless it be so provided by the bond or contract for the same; but, in the case in question, they found the defender liable to pay annualrent, in respect the debtor had been in use of payment, at the least, had promised to pay annualrant for certain years bygone; and annualrent once payed, implies a tacit paction to continue the payment of the same.

Reporter, Thesaurer-depute.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 37. Dirleton, No 408. p. 200.

1628. December 2.

ZAIR against RAMSAY.

No 12.
Annualrent flipulated for one term, found ever due till payment.

In a pursuit Zair contra Ramsay, for payment of the by-gone annualrents, of a sum contained in a bond, which was of this tenor, that the debtor was obliged to pay 1000 pounds at the term in the bond, and in case of failzie, to pay 1000 pounds for annualrent and profit thereof, with 300 merks of expences, if the creditor should be compelled to seek and charge for the same; this bond was found to be heritable, and the debtor was found subject to pay the whole byrun annualrents, yearly since the term of payment, albeit the bond bore only the debtor to be bound to pay one year's profit and a penalty, and not to pay yearly that annualrent, so long as the sum was unpaid.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 37. Durie, p. 403.

1669. January 13.

EARL of WINTOUN against SEATOUN of Minnes.

No 13. The fame found,

George Seaton of Minnes, having borrowed from the Earl of Wintoun's grand-father, the fum of L. 400, and given ticket to one Heriot his fervant, payable at Lambmas 1650, and by a miffive letter at Martinmas thereafter, directed to the Earl, excusing himself for not payment at the term, and promising to pay annualrent for the time that the Earl had lyen out: This Earl of Wintoun, as having right from the executors of Heriot, did pursue both for principal and the whole annualrents, extending to as much as the principal sum; which the Lords did sustain, notwitslanding it was alleged, That the missive letter was only obligator for payment of annualrent the time preceding, but not for the suture; and therefore, the desender was not in mora, having offered by the said missive to pay the same to the Earl of Marshall, if the Earl of Wintoun would so order