No 5.

The suspenders endeavoured to obviate the conclusions drawn from these sacts, and at the same time to assimilate the fraudulent interpolation to the case of forgery or vitiation; and thence they argued: 1st, That the alteration being a vitium reale, the bill could not be sustained as a document of debt: 2dly, That as the alteration was visible, Wylie was equally negligent in not discovering it, as they were in putting their names to a bill with a blank in gremio; and that therefore both parties being in pari casu, where the loss had fallen, there it must remain.

The Court, waving the specialties which occurred in the cause, went upon the following grounds. Where a blank is left in a bill, fufficient to admit the infertion of part of one word, and the whole of another, as in the present case, any person who puts his name upon it, whether as drawer, acceptor, or indorser, and trusts it in the hands of another, and particularly of the person by whom it was written, in order to its being passed by him into the circle, must be liable for the consequences, in the same manner as if it had been left blank in the sum altogether, it being nearly the same thing, whether the blank be total or partial. And although, upon a narrow inspection, a small crowding of the letters, and fome little difference in the colour of the ink, might have been perceived, both were too trifling to put the discounter on his guard; even if he had hesitated. and made enquiry into these circumstances, he might have been told, without putting him in mala fide. that there had been originally a blank left, in order to be filled up with the fum which might be wanted. The circumstance of leaving a blank must be held as a tacit mandate from the parties whose names were upon the bill, intrusting the holder with the power of filling it up; and therefore the present case differs widely from a forgery or vitiation, for there one writing is converted into another, without the confent of the parties, either express or implied.

THE LORDS unanimously 'repelled the reasons of suspension.'

Lord Ordinary, Dreghorn. For the Chargers, D. Catheart. For the Suspenders, R. Hamilton. Clerk, Menzies.

R. Davidson.

Fac. Col. No 62. p. 136.

SECT. II.

Effect of intimation of Blank Writs.

1627. February 9. CRAI

CRAUFURD against CRAUFURD and KNIBLO.

In an action of double poinding, at the instance of Malcolm Craufurd, who was addebted to another Craufurd the sum of 260 merks, and this Craufurd Vol. IV.

No 6. Found, that after arrestment, the ar-

No 6. rester could not be prejudiced by the inferting a perfon's name in a blank obligation; but that the fatt and the time could be afcertained only by the oath of the person whose name was inserted.

being addebted to James Kniblo, burgefs of Edinburgh, in a debt; for payment of this debt Kniblo arrefts the forefaid fum in Malcolm Craufurd's hands, and Malcolm having confest that he was jowing that sum to Craufurd this creditor, but that he had given his obligation to his faid creditor thereupon, blank in the name, to be filled up with any person's name whom his creditor pleased to insert; and that he had understood, since that time, that there was insert therein the name of Andrew Craufurd of Baidland, who being called to this double poinding, the fuspender is content to pay to any of the parties, who shall be found to have right; and Baidland compearing, and alleging that the fum should be found to pertain to him, because his name was insert in the bond; for albeit he had neither borrowing nor lending with this suspender, yet seeing his name was in the obligation, and that nothing intervened which could prohibit Craufurd, to whom the fum was owing, truly to fill in his name, nor to have given the funt to him, albeit it had been filled up with the creditor's proper name; therefore he ought to be preferred: Kniblo, on the other part, contended, that the fuspender confessing that the sum was due and proper to his debtor, albeit the bond was blank, and that he had arrefted the same as his debtor's money, in the suspender's hands, at which time of the arrestment the blank was yet unfilled up, that then it was his debtor's money still; thereafter the filling up of another person's name in the blank by his debtor, after the arrestment which affected it to him, cannot prejudge him; which filling up, fince the arrestment, he offered to prove by the witnesses insert in the bond. The Lords found, that after the arrestment, nothing could be done to the prejudice of the creditor arretter, by filling up thereafter of a person in the blank, by him who was the arrester's debtor; and so the Lords found the arrester's allegeance relevant, that, fince the arrestment, Baidland's name was insert therein, but found that the same was only relevant to be proven by Baidland's oath, and not by the witneffes infert therein; for they could not take away the obligation from Baidland by witnesses, but by his own oath; and this being so proven, the Lords found, that Kniblo should be preferred; but if it had been alleged that the bond, albeit blank, had been really delivered to Baidland before the arrestment to his own use, that would have been found relevant against the arrester, and the inserting of his name therein fince the arrestment would not have been enough to prejudge him thereof.

Ad. Belsches.

Alt. Mowat.

Clerk, Gibson.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 103. Durie, p. 270.

1665. November 1.

Telfer against Geddes.

No 7.
An arrester
was preferred
to a party

Marjory Sandilands having granted to Samuel Veitch a blank bond of 2000 merks, Telfer, being creditor to Samuel Veitch, arrefts all fums in her hand