ANNUALRENT, INFEFTMENT OF.

An Annualrenter cannot remove Tenants.

1630. March 9.

Auld, Butter-man in Edinburgh, against Yule and Thomas Auld.

No 8. An annualrent cannot remove tenants; nor does the infeftment bar the heritor from fetting new tacks.

AULD being infeft by the heritor, with confent of his wife, conjunct-fiar in an annualrent out of their lands; after which the hufband, who was heritor of the lands, dies, and the relict, who was liferenter, and who confented to the infeftment of an annualrent after her husband's decease, fetting a tack of that land, after which tack the annualrenter comprises from her, and from the heir of the heritor, the whole right of the land, for diverse years owing of the annualrent; and upon the comprising, being infeft, purfues removing against the relict, and the tackfman; wherein the Lords found, That the compriser, during the tack, could not remove the tackiman, the tack being fet before the denunciation of the comprising, albeit after that the fetter had confented to the right of the annualrenter; for the LORDS found the tack, albeit posterior to the annualrent, yet before the comprising, was not good to flay poinding of the ground for the annualrent, but was fufficient to ftay removing upon the comprising; and it was not refpected, what the compriser an/wered, That the comprising ought to be drawn back to his own caufe, viz. The time of the infeftment of the annualrent, after which time he alleged, nothing could be done by the granters thereof, that might derogate to the effect thereof; which was repelled : Neither was it refpected what he replied, That the ground might become unanfwerable to pay the annualrent, and to the house becoming ruinous, he might effectually comprise the property therefor, wherein, as the anthor of the annualrent could not impede the removing, no more could the tackfinan qui habet caufam ab eo; which was alfo repelled. (See Scarlet against Paterson, Durie, p. 496. [at the end of that Dceifion, voce Indefinite Intromisson.)

> Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 46. Durie, p. 503.

In what manner an Annualrent-Right may be Extinguished.

No 9.

A renunciation, found not iufficient to extinguifh an annualrent right, eftablifhed by charter and fafine, in prejudice of a ,1627. November 23. DUMBAR against WILLIAMSON.

ANNUALRENT, INFEFTMENT OF,

rent, not by him who was conveened perfonally for payment, but by one Wilhamfon her hufband, who was infeft therein by the defender.____THE LORDS found this perfonal action also competent to the fucceflor, who was infeft by him, who first acquired right from the heritor of the land, now defender, as it would have been competent to the faid first acquirer himself, against him, which was fo found, albeit the faid purfuer, fingular fucceffor to the faid first acquirer, as faid is, was not made affignee to the perfonal contract, concerning the alienation of the faid annualrent, made betwixt the heritor and the annualrenter; but that the purfuer was only infeft therein by the faid first annualrenter.----In this pro-eefs the Lords also found, upon the 11th of December 1627, That the renunciation made by the hufband, who was first infeft before this faine given to his wife, now purfuer, made in favours of the defender, difponer of the annualrent, was not *habilis modus*, to denude the hufband, and to prejudge this right, thereafter acquired by the wife, except it had been refigned or different by charter and fafine, feeing it was first established by fafine : And also found, That the purfuer might lawfully purfue perfonal action against the faid first disponer, as well as real against the ground, notwithstanding the faid renunciation, made before the the purfuer's right.—For which See 27th July 1626, L. Anstruther against Black, Durie, p. 230. voce Assignation. (See Implied Condition.)

> Act. _____ Alt. Gunningbam. Clerk, Gibson. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 46. Durie, p. 315.

1680. January 7. M'Lellan against Musher.

JOHN M'LELLAN having adjudged an annualrent, by infeftment upon a bond, and Adam Mushet having affignation to a part of the same sum, the debtor raifed double poinding against them both, and alleged liberation from a part of the fum, for which he produces a renunciation from the creditor, before either of these competitors rights, and likewife two discharges of parts of the sum .-- It was answered for M Lellan. That he being infeft in a real right, his author's renunciation is not relevant againft him, a fingular fucceffor, unlefs it had contained a procuratory of refignation, and that an inftrument of refignation ad remanentiam had been taken thereupon : For, as a difposition or charter, without a fafine, cannot conflitute an annualrent, fo neither can a perfonal renunciation or difcharge evacuate the fame, without an inftrument of refignation ad remanentiam, the annualrent being holden of the debtor.--It was replied. That the act of Parliament anent registration of lafines and reverfions, doth not only fuftain refignations, but also renunciations of wadfets, when duly registrate; and accordingly, this renunciation is marked registrate in the faid register; and as to the other discharges there can be no controverfy, but they are valid as to annualrents bygone refting the time. of these discharges. THE LORDS fultained the renunciation, being duly regif.

4 C 2

No 1C.-A regittred renunciation, without neceffity of refignation, extinguifhes an infeftment of annualrent, quoad onnes effectus, even againft a fingular fucceffor.

A difcharge of annualrent is effectual againft a fingular fucceffor, in the infeftment of annualrent, acquired by apprifing.

No 9. fingular fuccellor, thereafter acquiring right from the annualrenter,

57 I