No 19.

WHEN it is alleged, that the compriser meddled with a part, and might have meddled with the hail, the Lords found it not relevant, in respect of the act of Parliament, which binds not the compriser with intromission, but if he pleases, and the same found relevant, it was offered to be proved, that he meddled with more than would pay his annualrent, and so ought to have meddled with the hail, not being impeded.

Kerse, MS. fol. 84.

*** Durie's report of this case is No 1. p. 314., voce Adjudication.

1626. December 13. E. Buccleuch against Young.

No 20. Dwelling place must be specially mentioned.

In an action of redemption at the instance of the Earl of Buccleuch against Young, the Lords found the instrument of premonition made to the defender to be null, because it bore him to be warned at his dwelling-place, and made no mention of the special dwelling-place whereat he was warned, nor designed the same in the instrument; but only bore, that he was warned at his dwelling-place indefinitely; and this was so found, albeit the date of the instrument bore two special places therein mentioned, designed in the inscription thereof, viz. in this manner, at Trockness, and , such a day and year; and the instrument purported, that he was warned indefinitely at his dwelling-place, not declaring which of the foresaid two places dated in the instrument, nor yet bearing, at his dwelling-place foresaid, nor there.

1628. February 7. MAXWELL against L. INNERWEEK.

No 216
Place of payment must be pointed out.

In an action betwixt James Maxwell and L. Innerweek, for declaring of a reversion of lands to be expired, upon a clause irritant, conform to the contract betwixt the parties, seeing conform thereto the defender being required to provide and pay the moneys, as was appointed in the contract, he had not done the same, the Lords assoilated from this declarator, in respect the requisition made to pay the moneys was made by a procurator constituted by the pursuer, who required payment to be made to James Maxwell, at a term at which he was not within the country, and the defender was not obliged to pay the same out of the country; and albeit the procurator had power to receive the money, yet seeing he required not the payment to be made to himself, as having power, but to the constituent's self, who was absent as said is; and also in respect that the procurator designed no place, where he desired the defender to