1024. July 15. Dickson Apothecary against L. Coldingknows.

In an action pursued by Thomas Dickson apothecary, contra the L. Coldingknows, where the summons being admitted to the pursuer's probation, and referred to the defender's oath of verity-simpliciter, and at the terms assigned to that effect, the defender offering to depone and give his oath, he was debarred by a horning produced by the pursuer against him, whereby he alleged, That he could not depone but should be holden as confessed, being rebel. THE LORDS found. That in this, and the like cases, the pursuer could not exclude the defender to depone, nor obtrude horning against him to debar him, seeing he craved his oath for his probation, and had warned him to compear to give his oath; and therefore could not refuse that whereof he himself had made election, and which was desired by him; and so the horning was not admitted, in respect it was a severe consequence to hold the defender pro confesso upon a libel which might possibly contain more than the defender was worth being so debarred, and there being no other probation; but it is to be adverted, that in all the causes almost, where parties defenders are summoned, this reason may exclude all pursuers to debar the defenders by horning; for it may be alleged. that seeing they are summoned to hear decreets given against them, or else to allege a cause in the contrary; by the same reason, they may say, that seeing he is summoned to allege a cause why the pursiler should not have his intent. he ought not to be debarred by horning to propone lawfully that which by the pursuers summons is permitted to him to do; and in these cases, the defenders not the less may be debarred by hornings.

Act. Chaip & ____. Alt. ___. Clerk, Hay.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 84. Durie, p. 138.

1626. June 14. Donatar of the L. Foulis's Escheat.

In a declarator sought of the Laird of Foulis's liferent, the gift being granted upon diverse hornings therein specially mentioned, whereof some were produced and used by the donatar, whereupon he craved the declarator; and another was produced to debar the defender a defendendo, which he declared he used only to that effect to debar him, because he was rebel unrelaxed, and used it not to recover declarator thereon, albeit it was also expressed in the gift; and the defender offering to improve the same, and alleging, that so he could not be debarred thereby till it was tried if it was false or true; and the pursuer answering, That he could not be heard to compear to propone either improbation or any other allegeance so long as he stood rebel unrelaxed. The LORDS found, That he ought to be relaxed or ever he could be heard to propone im-

No 8.
The verity of a libel being referred to a defender's oath, the Lords found the pursuer could not exclude the defender from deponing, by a horning.

No 9. A person pursued declarator of another's escheat, and produced a separate horning, by which he offered to debar him from defending: Found, that the defender ought to be relaxed, before he could proceed in his defence. No 9. probation, seeing that horning, albeit it was contained in the gift, yet it was not used by the pursuer to recover declarator thereon, but only to debar him.

Alt. Russel.

Alt. Lawtie.

Clerk, Gibson.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 85. Durie, p. 202.

** Spottiswood's report of this case is No 4. p. 5732, voce Horning.

1628. June 13.

Rule against L. AITON.

No 10.
In a libel of count and reckoning, in which no specific sum was concluded for, the defender offered to account, yet the pursuer was allowed to debar him by horning.

In an action of compt and reckoning at the instance of James Rule against the Laird of Aiton, the defender being debarred by the pursuer by horning, and the defender alleging, That the pursuer could not debar him by horning, seeing he offered instantly to compt and reckon with him, which being the desire of his summons, it was the only thing which could be decerned in this process in favours of the pursuer; and the defender being ready to do and fulfil that, for the which sentence allenarly might be given, viz. to compt and reckon, therefore he could not be debarred from doing of that which the decreet could decern him only to do. THE LORDS, notwithstanding of the allegeance and offer to compt, found that he was debarred, and so in respect that he was at the horn, that he had not a person to stand in judgment, and decerned; which decreet extended only to ordain the defender to compt, which was offered as said is, without any sentence, but in respect of the horning refused, yet the Lords declared that they would give suspension without caution, seeing the decreet being only general for compt and reckoning, not containing a special sum, it was hard to find cautioners in such generals, not being certain nor special in the quantity of the sum, nor liquidate what the same was:

Act. — Alt. Belshes. Clerk, Hay.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 85. Durie, p. 374.

*** This case is reported by Spottiswood, No 5. p. 5732, voce HURNING.

No 11. 1629. January 29.

KELLIE against WINRAM.

CIVIL rebellion debars a Judge from the exercise of his office, and he may be declined upon that head.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 85. Duric.

*** This case is No 28. p. 7313, voce Jurisdiction.