prised it, and so not comprisable. However, the contrary was sustained in effect, between Dr Kincaid and Mr Robert Monro.

Page 42.

## 1624. November 25. Hepburn against Alexander Hay.

There is an act against arresting of money consigned in the clerk of the session's hands; but it was found, that a sum consigned, for the redemption of some lands in Mr Alexander Hay's hands, was liable to the debt owing by him from whom the land was redeemed for his entertainment, he being minor; albeit it was alleged, that it should have been taken off his ordinary rent, (there being enough of it,) rather than off the principal sum.

Page 16.

## 1625. June 21. Cunninghame of Craigans against Heritors of Renfrew.

Cunninghame of Craigans, as being Mair of Fee in the Barony of Renfrew, charged the whole heritors within the same with general letters to make payment to him, conform to his infeftment, of two threaves of straw and a lamb, the plough. The Lords refused him process, upon these general letters, against all and sundry, unless he would libel against each particular.

Page 196.

## 1625. July 13.

## Bungh against GRAY.

In an action of registration between Burgh and Gray; this Gray being infeft in certain lands ex contractu matrimoniali, shortly after, within two months, his father deceaseth. He perceiving his father heavily burthened with debt, more than all his goods would satisfy, ceaseth to meddle by uplifting the duty, and being pursued in this action, offered to renounce the said infeftment, cum omni causa; which he alleged could not be denied him, rebus adhuc integris; and especially considering, that, at the time of receiving the infeftment, he was minor, and could not know his father's burdens, he neither being inhibited nor at the horn. Nevertheless, for all these allegeances, the Lords decerned registration; reserving to him his action de restitutione in integrum, prout de jure. For they thought that his sasine, (especially it being taken propriis manibus,) and confirmation of the superior, the Constable of Dundee, thereupon; was as good as if he had apprehended possession, by uplifting of the mails and duties; and that ignorance of his father's estate could be no more profitable to him than to an heir who had ignorantly and precipitately meddled with any heirship-goods, who afterwards had no place to resile or renounce.

Page 299.