SECT. 2.

the same, as falling to him by Clunie's rebellion year and day. THE LORDS found, that Lethentie's liferent of these lands, which, by his being year and day at the horn, fell in Clunie's hands who was his superior; but Clunie's rebellion fell not to the King, as a part and casuality of the simple escheat; but found, that in respect Clunie himself was year and day at the horn, by the space of three months before his vassal Lethentie's rebellion year and day expired, that therefore by Clunie's being year and day at the horn before Lethentie his vassal, the liferent of the lands pertained to the Bishop, and was acquired to him before it could be acclaimed as a casuality of Clunie's single escheat, and after Clunie's liferent fell to the Bishop, whatever could accresce to Clunie by the continuing and remaining of Clunie's vassal, when year and day ran, and so when it was by the expiring of the year acquired by Clunie. the same accresced to the Bishop, in whose hands Clunie's liferent had fallen before, and consequently the Bishop having confirmed the said Lethentic's right of the lands after his liferent, and Clunie's was acquired to him by their fore. said rebellion, albeit the said confirmation was done after the gift granted to Sibbald by the King, of Clunie's escheat, and after the general declarator recovered thereupon, yet being before any special declarator obtained by the King's donatar, the same was found, by the LORDS, sufficient to exclude the King's donatar from all right to that liferent acclaimed, as it would have excluded Clunie's self, if he had sought the same.

Act. Hope & M'Gill.

Alt. Lermonth & Stuart. Clerk, Hay. Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 253. Durie, p. 51.

1624. March 5.

RAMSAY against MACKISON.

IN an action pursued by John Ramsay contra Mackison, for payment of the sum of 500 merks, conform to the defender's obligation made thereupon, the LORDS found, that this pursuer had no action subsisting in his person, to pursue for this debt, because the pursuer was denounced rebel, and his escheat gifted, and declared generally: Likeas the donatar had intented special declarator for this same sum, against this same defender; which being called, albeit in that special declarator the donatar past from this excipient, yet the intenting of the special declarator so denuded the rebel, that no right remained in his person, whereupon he might convene the defender, but the same was only competent to the donatar. This allegeance was found relevant to exclude the creditor's pursuit; for the LORDS found, that albeit it was jus tertii, which was alleged, yet that it was competent to the excipient, sicklike as if the pursuer had been denuded by an assignation, which had been intimate; and, that the once intenting of a special declarator against him, albeit thereafter past from, was of the like force as the intimation of an assignation.

Act. Aiton.

Alt. Hope.

Vol. IX.

Durie, p. 117.

No 7. The intenting of a special declarator, altho' afterwards past from, found entirely to denude the rebel,

3617

No 6.