No. 9. nearest land, and slay their fish upon the same, and to infix paills and trees upon the land adjacent to the river, where the sea ebbs and flows, to dry their nets upon them, and mend their nets. And albeit the said land be bounded to the river, yet the heritors thereof must leave so much ley nearest the river side as is necessary for the foresaid uses of the said fishings, and must neither till it nor big dikes upon it, which may hinder the commodity of said fishing, in manner foresaid.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 360. Haddington, MS. No. 2357.

1623. December 18. Lord Monimusk against Forbes.

No. 10.

FOUND, That a party who is infeft by the King in a salmon fishing, having no lands adjacent to the water, may draw his nets and dry them on either side.

Where one has lands on one side of the water, and another on the other side, with both of them a right to the fishing, it was found, that each may draw only on his own side.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 360. Durie. Haddington.

** This case is No. 106. p. 10840. voce Prescription.

1746. July 16. FISHERS on Northesk against Scott of Brotherton.

No. 11. Cruives regulated under penalties in case of transgression.

ROBERT RAMSAY, merchant in Edinburgh, tacksman of the fishing of Edzell, Turnbull of Strickathrow, and Fullarton of Galry, having right to fishings, in consequence of their respective properties, all upon the river of Northesk, pursued Hercules Scott of Brotherton, having a right of cruives near the mouth of the river, for several alleged infractions of the law in the form of his cruives.

THE LORD ORDINARY, 9th December; 1743, ' found, That the defender's cruive-dike in question should only be half an ell Scots broad at the top, and only one foot and an half high above the surface of the water, in its common course, as it run from the 15th of April to the 1st of May, and that the said dike ought to be built sloping from the top, till it was two feet under the water. 2dly, That the defender had right only to one cruive-dike, and that he ought to remove his side dike. 3dly, That he ought to observe the Saturday's slop, viz. one ell wide of a sluice in each cruive, from six o'clock in the evening till Monday at sun-rising. 4thly, That the hecks of the cruives ought to be three inches wide, conform to act of Parliament of James I. and former decisions in the debate mentioned. 5thly, That the teeth or rungs of the hecks ought to be entirely removed in forbidden times to fish, and the same kept clear and void. 6thly, That the defender was not obliged to keep or observe the mid-stream. 7thly, That he ought to take down and model his cruive-dike, and to build it according to the above