SECT. 3. IMPLIED DISCHARGE AND RENUNCIATION.

1623. July 1. CRAWFORD against LORD COVINGTON.

A vassal, af-THE Captain of Crawford retoured heir to his grandfather in the lands of ter being year Merflat, held of the Laird of Covington in anno 1595, charged him in anno 1622, and day at the horn, reto grant him a precept according to his retour. Covington suspended allegceived from his superior ing that he had intented improbation. The Lords repelled that reason in a precept for respect of the retour standing, reserving his action of improbation as accords infefting him in the lands Thereafter, he alleged, that all the duties of the bygone non-enof the law. which he held tries should be paid at the subscribing of the precept, from the time of the under him. Found, that Captain's goodsire's decease, which was declared by the retour to have been the superior was notwithin anno 1563, which the Lords found reasonable, to the year 1622, which was standing enthe time of the charge given to Covington by precepts of the chancellerie. titled to the vassal's life-Covington protested, that the granting of the precept should not prejudge rent escheat. the precept him of the Captain's liferent, which the Lords reserved as accords of the law. being in obe-

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 431. Haddington, MS. No 2878.

1623. July 25. LO. RAMSAY against E. LOTHIAN.

IN a declarator of liferent, pursued at the instance of the Lord Ramsay against the E. of Lothian, for certain lands held by him of the pursuer, the LORDS found, that albeit the lands whereof the defender was vassal to the pursuer; were liferented by one possessing the liferent held of the superior, and that the defender was relaxed before year and day was expired, after the liferenter's decease, by whose decease the defender alleged, that he became only effectually and profitably the pursuer's vassal, seeing he alleged, that he could not be counted his vassal, but from the time of the liferenter's decease ; yet nevertheless that his liferent of these lands fell, by his rebellion, year and day. albeit the liferenter was living, and that the profit which might belong to the superior, by that rebellion, was suspended only so long as the liferenter lived : Likeas, it was also found, that the giving of a precept by the superior to the vassal, for seasing of him in the lands held of him specially, being a precept for obedience of a retour, prejudged not the superior in the casuality of the vassal's liferent belonging to him, by the vassal's being at the horn year and day before the precept, which precept derogated nothing to the superior of that right of liferent of the said lands, contained in the precept, acquired to himby the vassal's rebellion, year and day before the precept. And also it was found, that an infeftment granted by the vassal to another person who had acquired the heritable right of the lands from him, and possession conform. thereto, upon causes onerous, and after the precept granted by the superior for sasine of the vassal, was not a right which could prejudge the superior of

No 14. Found in conformity with Covington against Crawford, *supra*.

dience to a retour.

No 13.