## EXTINCTION of APPRISINGS and ADJUDICATIONS.

1623. November 28. CRAIG against his TENANT and WILSON.

MR ROBERT CRAIG having recovered a decreet of removing against a tenant, founded upon a fasine after comprising: The tenant suspended upon double diffress against Mr Robert on the one part, and against one Wilson on the other part, wherein Wilson compeared and alleged, That he would not suffer Craig to remove the suspender, in respect he had comprised the land, and was long infest therein before Mr Robert's right; fo that the land pertained to him, which was found relevant by the Lords; albeit that Craig answered, That seeing he had. done no diligence upon his infuftment, though anterior, nor made warning thereupon, nor yet had recovered any possession of the land, and that he could not allege the suspender to be his tenant, by payment of mail and duty, he ought not to be heard, to flay the execution of his fentence; and whenever he should purfue upon his anterior right he should answer thereto in its own time. This was repelled, and the prior right sustained, and the parties ordained to dispute upon their rights, as if sentence had not been given; after which Craig answered to the faid prior right, that the fame could not be respected, because the same was founded upon a compriling, which in effect was become extinct, in so far as fince the deducing thereof, and fince the fafine past thereupon, he had received a part of the furn, for the which the comprising was deduced. This allegeance was repelled, because the Lords found, That the receiving payment of a part of the hum, was not enough to make the comprising to fall, except the whole had been paid; but that the comprising stood while the whole debt were satisfied; and because there was some term of probation assigned in this process, which proceeded upon the compearance of this Wilson; the Lords ordained him, to find caution. for the violent profits, ficklike as if he had been party in the first removing, seeing by his appearance, he stayed the execution of the decreet of removing already obtained.

No to An apprifing did not become extinct, while any part of the fum remained unfatisfied.

Act. Craig. Alt.

Clerk, Gibson.

Durie, p. 84.