## 161r. J̌uly ir. Thomas Wardlaw against Robert Gray.

No 122. Found, that no action on the ftatute $158 \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{c} .18$. liesfor breach of arreftment, ultravalorem.

Arrestment being laid, the party to whom the goods pertains, or in whofe hands they are arrefted, having received a copy, or ticket of the arreftment, and thereafter intromitted with the goods, the arreftment not being orderly loofed, may be purfued far breaking of the arreftment, and confifcation of his goods, and punifhment of his perfon. But, another party who had no knowledge of the arreftment, meeting therewith, may only be purfued to make the goods furthcoming.

Haddington, MS. No 2268.
1620. Fune 24. Aitken ägainst Anderson.

The Lords found that an arreftment made upon goods, could not hinder the lieges to buy in public market. See A. againft B. No 116. p. 785.

- Kerse, (Arrestment.) fol. 235.

162r. Fune $\mathrm{I}_{3}$.

## Dunbar against Hay.

Found where debts are arrefted, the payer cannot be convened for break of arreftment:

Kerse, MS. (Arrestment.) fol. 235.
1792. February 27. Aamexander Grant against John Hill. ;

Grant being a creditor of Alexander Rodger, to whom Hill fucceeded as tenant in a farm, ufed arreftment againft Hill, of a hay-ftack which Rodger had left on the ground. Hill notwithftanding having allowed the hay to be carried off, Grant raifed againft him an action on the ftatute of 158.1 , concluding, in his libel, for payment of his whole debt, and for the farther application of the ftatute; the debt amounting to upwards of L .700 , and the value of the hay being, L. 30 .

Grant having obtained decree in abfence, Hill brought it under review in a procels of fufpenfion, on various grounds, fuch as, that he was not the cuftodier of the hay; but what chiefly occupied the attention of the Court was the objection, that at all events Hill could not be liable ultra valorem of the fubject arrefted.

The charger insisted on the authority of the following words of Lord Stair, with refpect to breakers of arrestment, ' That the party injured fhall be firf paid

