1613. January 19. DAVID WYLLIE against The DUKE of LENOX.

No. 6.

In an action pursued by David Wyllie against the Duke of Lenox, upon a gift of £.20 which contained an assignation to certain teinds, the Lords granted letters conform against the Duke himself.

Kerse MS. p. 8.

1616. March 6.

BISHOP of GALLOWAY and CHAPEL ROYAL against The PREBENDARIES.

No. 7.

In an action of improbation pursued by the Bishop of Galloway and Chapel Royal against the Prebendaries, for production of their provisions to be improved, the Lords sustained this exception, quod triennalis et decimalis possessor tenetur docere de titulo etiam in causa falsa.

Kerse MS. p. 8.

1616. June 26.

BISHOP of GALLOWAY against PREBENDARIES of the CHAPEL ROYAL.

No. 8.

In an action pursued by the Bishop of Galloway against certain Prebendaries of the Chapel Royal, the Lords sustained an exception, super decimali et triennali possessione; they pretend the possession as titular by writ; viz. presentations, collations, tacks, decreets, or such other adminicles; and in the same cause the Lords found and declared, that if the same fail in proving their exception, they should not be had thereafter to produce, except they produce at the time assigned to the hail defenders to produce.

Kerse MS. p. 8.

1617. January 15.

MR. JOHN HAY, Parson of Billolm, against His Parishioners.

No. 9.

In an action pursued by Mr. John Hay, Parson of Billolm, against his parishioners, the Lords found no process upon the provision, because the institution was not produced.

Kerse MS. p. 9.

1617. March 4.

SEATON against SEATON.

In a reduction of a tack of teinds, the Lords did not give it effect, from the time of the failure, sed a tempore litis motæ only. See APPENDIX.

No. 10.