pursues his declarator, that will not sustain his order, at the using whereof he not having produced the reversion, the order is null.

No 6.

No 7. Might warn-

ing be given

at the same term at which

the order of redemption

was used?

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 323. Haddington, MS. No 1746.

1613. July 13. CRICHTON of Clunie against the LAIRD of BANDOUN.

In an action of reduction, pursued by Mr Robert Crichton of Clunic contra the Laird of Bandoun, it was opponed against the decreet of removing, that Clume could not warn notwithstanding, because he was denuded in favour who, the time of the warning, stood heritably infeft to To the which it was answered, That the act of Parliament speaks only that warning shall be made at any term after the redemption, idque where there is a lawful order used, so the warning cannot be used at the same term; and farther, the act finds, that after the declarator the same may be drawn back, so that Clunie may either allege that the lands were renounced, or declared redeemed, and that he was infeft upon the redemption. THE LORDS, for the most part, were of this opinion, that the warning might be made at the same term at which the redemption was used; but they would not find pro or contra, only they found the reply noways relevant, except Clunie would say that he was re-infeft. Item, It was alleged thereafter, that - had renounced in favour of Peter Hay, who was infeft, holding of the King. The Lords repelled the allegeance, as of before, because Clunic was never released, and so could not make a warning.

Kerse, MS. fol. 83.

1615. January 27. Lord Sanguhar and Seaton against James Crichton.

-No 8.

In an action of redemption, pursued by William Lord Sanquhar, and Mr Alexander Seaton, contra James Crichton of Craw, the Lords found that the condition of reversion behaved to be fulfilled in forma specifica, and could not be fulfilled by equipollents, see No 11.

Kerse, MS. fol. 83.

1616. February 8.

LESLIE against LESLIE.

No 9-

In an action pursued by James Leslie of that Ilk contra George Leslie of Chapelton, for redemption of certain lands, wadset by himself, the Lords sus-