ARBITRATION.

1555. April 5. The LADY FLEMING against LORD FLEMING.

No 3. Arbiters may be applied to to interprete their decree.

GIF an decrete-arbitral is difficile and obscure, in fic fort that it may not be cleirlie understuid, the famin fould be interprit by the jugeis arbiteris, gevaris thairof: And thairfoir, gif execution thairof be sought be ony partie before ane juge, he sould remit the interpretatioun thairof to the jugeis arbiteris, gevaris of the famin.

Balfaur, (ARBITRIE.) p. 415.

1583. November.

Wood against Scott.

In a purfuit one Wood againft Scott, for wrongous ejecting her out of a fteading whereof fhe had tack and affedation, excepted, That he entered thereto by virtue of decreet arbitral, pronounced betwixt them.—Replied, Giving it had been, yet the defender fhould not have entered thereto by virtue thereof, before the authority of the Lords or other judges ordinary had been interponed, and that he had first fought the ordinary remeid of a warning; for an arbiter hath no power to put his own decreet in execution. Quia licet arbitria sunt instar judiciorum, tamen arbiter nullam censetur habere jurisidictionem.—The Lords found this reply relevant.

Spottiswood, (ARBITER.) p. 13.

1583.

LAIRD of Lochinvar against The EARL of CASSILLIS.

Effectus sententiæ arbitri transit in bæredes, licet de ils mentio facta non sit, ait Bartolus. juxta L. quanvis ff. de receptis qui arbitrium.——According to which the Lords decided betwixt these parties.

Spottiswood, (ARBITER.) p. 14.

No 6.

No 5.

The effect of a decree-ar-

bitral paffes

to heirs.

1611. December 12. LAIRD of Pharneheft against JOHN MOSCROPT.

In an action of fufpenfion of a decreet-arbitral, betwixt the Laird of Pharneheft and John Molcropt, the LORDS received the declaration of the judges uponmending the decreet-arbitral.

Kerse, MS. (ARBITERS.) fol. 189.

No. 4. An arbiter has no power to enforce execution of his decree. 624