APPRISING.

1610.

LORD SALTON against LAIRD of Cluny.

COMPRISING being to be deduced upon a matter of great confequence; the LORDS will, at the defire of those who are infeft in the lands denounced; call the procurators of him who is to comprise; and will name advocates unfuspect, to be affeffors to the officer who is to be judge in the comprising.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 4. Haddington, MS. No 195.

No 2. The want of a previous charge of horning found to be a nullity in a compriiing.

No 1.

In apprifings of importance,

the Court ap-

point advocates to be

affeffors to the meffen-

gers.

1622. July 20. CRANSTON against L. of EASTNISBIT.

> Act. Nicolfon and Craig. Alt. Hope and Stuart Gibson, Clerk. Durie, p. 31.

1623.

July 30.

NICOLSON against BAILLIE and WHITLAW.

IN an action of reduction of a comprising, purfued at the inflance of Mr Thomas Nicolfon, advocate, against Robert Baillie and Patrick Whitlaw; the LORDS found this a relevant reason of nullity against the comprising, viz. That the compriser had continued the payment of the debt and sum, for which the comprising was deduced, unto the term of Martinmas 1619, and that he had denounced the

No 3.

A fearch upon the ground of the land for moveables, ought to precede the charge and