OATH OF PARTY.

SECT. I.

In what Cases admitted.

1609. March 3.

ELPHINSTON against ELPHINSTON.

No I.

A n arbiter forced to give his oath, upon a promise made, not to decern in prejudice of one of the submitters.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 13. Kerse, MS. fol. 181.

1624. February 25.

JOHN DUFF against KEITH and BOYD.

No 2.

John Duff, donatar to Andrew Kelly's escheat, pursued a declarator thereof. Compeared one Keith, and Stephen Boyd, two of Kelly's creditors; and
alleged, No declarator; because they offered to prove, that thr gift was taken
to the behoof of the rebel. Boyd having recovered an incident for proving of
his exception, Keith not being so wary in time was forced to refer his to the
pursuer's oath of verity; who alleged, He could not give his oath; because
the other had an incident running for proving of the same, wherethrough he
might be brought in danger of perjury.—The Lords thought the probation
might divide, the parties being diverse, though they were about to prove one
and the self-same thing; and, therefore, ordained his oath to be taken.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 13. Spottiswood, (PROBATION.) p. 241.