ing. To which the answer was satisfying, That the disposition being once supposed simulate at the date of the creditor's horning, it could not, by the act of attaining possession on it thereafter, become effectual in prejudice of the intervening horning.

Fol. Dic. v. 4.p. 127. Kilkerran, (Presumption.) No 2. p. 426.

## S E C T. II.

## Gift of Escheat, when presumed simulate:

1605. Fune 27. Gavin Home against Alschie Home.

In the declarator of the escheat of David Home of Blackadder, betwixt Gavin Home and Alschie Home; it being objected, That Alschie's gift was null,

No $254{ }^{\circ}$

No $255 \%$.

In an action of declarator pursued at the instance of the Lord Borthwick's
Bairns, as donatars to their father's escheat, the Lords found the same to be null; seeing it was alleged, That it was simulate, taken to the rebel's behoof, in so far as it was taken to the behoof of his bairns, he remaining rebel, which was alike as if it had been taken to himself; which allegeance the Lords found relevant; but here, the bairns were in potestate patria unforisfamiliate. Vide 20th March 1623, Dalgarno contra Earl Marishal, No 258. p. rr593. where the contrary seems to be done, except that the donatar was not in potestate.

For the Pursuer, Nicolson.

$$
\text { Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. } 15 \text { 8. . Durie, p. } 27 .
$$

## *** Kerse mentions this case thus:

Simulation of an escheat sustained, proying the escheat to be taken to the: behoof of the rebel's bairns.

