CONFIRMATION.

SECT. V.

Competition among Rights Confirmed.

1580. July 13. LADY POLMAISE against TENANTS.

THE Lady Polmaise Murray wairnit certain tenants to flit and remove fra certain lands. It was alleged be the tenants, That they aught not to flit; because, before the wairning, they were infeft and seased in the lands, and be virtue thereof were in possession of the same. To this was answered, That, notwithstanding of their infeftment, they aught to flit and remove; because she. before their infeftments, was seased in the lands be her husband in the time of her virginity et e contemplatione futuri matrimonii, and thereafter obtained confirmation of the same; and so her husband denuded himself, first by seasing of her in the land, secundum tenorem chartæ conficiendæ, had no power thereafter to infeft or sease the defenders in the said lands. To this was answered, That albeit she was seased before the defenders were seased, yet their exception ought to be admitted be reason of the act of Parliament made in King James the Fifth's time. anent double alienation, that where there are double alienations made to sundry persons of one land, that he that gets the last alienation titulo oneroso, with the first receiving of the superior, either by resignation or confirmation, and possession following thereupon, shall prevail over the first private alienation, albeit it have the priority. To this was yet answered be Polmaise, That her sasine that was first was not private, because it was afterwards confirmed be the superior, and she obtained infeftment conform to her sasine; whilk infeftment and confirmation aught to be drawn back to the time of her sasine, because the same was given secundum tenorem chartæ conficiendæ. To this was answered, That it could not be drawn back, quia obstabat interim medius obex, whilk was the sasine and infeftment given to the defenders, and it was before the Lady's infeftments confirming.----THE LORDS admitted the exception of the defenders, and that in respect of the act of Parliament, and repelled the allegeance made be the Lady.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 194. Colvil, MS. p. 287.

No 19. An infeftment for re1611. January 25. GRAY against PITFERRAN.

THE Laird of Parbroath, in anno 1608, disponed heritably the lands Gillets, &c. to be holden of the Queen. Shortly thereafter Parbroath, by contract,

NO 18. In a case of double alie-

nation of

lands, the first being to

a bride, secundum tenorem

chartæ confici-

endæ, the last, though pos-

terior, having obtained the

first confir-

the Lords preferred the

same, and

found that the date of

firmation could not be

drawn back to the date of

the aliena-

tion.

the other con-

mation from the superior, SECT. 5.