ASSIGNATION.

1680. February 24. M'LURG contra BLACKWOOD.

In a competition betwixt M'Lurg, affignee by Boyd of Pinkell, and Blackwood, his creditor arrefter; it was *alleged* for the affignee, That his affignation and intimation were before the arreftment.---It was answered for the arrefter, That the affignation was never the affignee's delivered evident, but was retained in Pinkell, the common debtor, his hand; and that the intimation was null, being made by a perfon who was no notary, but known to be flagitious.—It was replied, That intimation fupplies delivery, after which the affignee hath intereft to force the cedent to exhibit and deliver; and though he fhould cancel the affignation, the affignee may prove the tenor of it, using the intimation as an adminicle, as was found in the cafe of Dick of Grange and Sir Laurance Oliphant *: yea in the cafe of Mr John Bain againft Campbell +, it was found, That a debtor taking a bond in name of his creditor, though not delivered, that creditor had right to found upon it, and to force the debtor to deliver. And as to the notary's not being authorifed, it was offered to be proven he was holden and repute notary, which is all the leiges can know; and though he were flagitious, the intimation may be redargued by the witneffer infert.

The LORDS found the affignation being intimate before the arreftment, though not delivered, was preferable, and that the notary's being holden and repute fuch was fufficient. Stair, v. 2. p. 762.

*** An affignation in truft being intimated, a conveyance to the cedent's creditor need not be intimated *de novo*, Stirling againft Smith, 5th December 1712, Forbes p. 641. voce TRUST.

Formalities of an Inftrument of Intimation.

1577. January 24. BRUCE against SMITH.

THE Laird of Clackmannan took to prove the tenor of ane reversion against one Sampson Smith, of the land of Rathie; ought not to be heard to prove the fame, because the reversion was discharged; he was made affignee to the reverfion to his umquhil grand-fire against David Bruce of Clackmannan; the whilk affignation was made duly intimate to the faid Smith; and for that effect produced an inftrument with the faid affignation, bearing, that fick ane man *et procurator et procuratoris nomine*, made intimation of fick an affignation to the faid Smith; but it bore not *de cujus procuratoris mandato mibi liquide constabat*:— Therefore it was alleged by Smith, That this instrument was not fufficient to verify the faid intimation to the affignee without the procuratory was produced that gave the power to make the faid intimation, aut saltem in clausula illa, de cujus procuratoris mandato mibi liquide constabat.—To this was answered, That always the inftrument bore that intimation was made, and it being facto tam antiquo,

845

* Dirleton, p. 215. voce IMPLIED OBLIGATION.

+ See General Lift of Names.

No 43. An inftrument of intimation of an · affignation was rejected, becaule it neither bore the claufe, de cujus procuratoris mandato mihi liquide constabat ; nor, (which would have fupplied it,) was there any procuratory extant, tho' at the diftance of 25 or 26 years.

No 42. Affignation intimated, though not delivered, is effectual. No 43.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 63. Colvil, MS. p. 42.

1558. July 31.

The QUEEN and the ABBOT of COUPAR, donatour, against The LAIRD of DUFFUS.

GIF ony perfoun havand be gift of the fuperiour, the marriage of ony air, he fould lauchfullie intimat the famin to him, viz. he, or his procurator, fould come to the perfonal prefence of the air, and ather reid his gift, or give and deliver him ane copie thairof, and tak inftrument in the handis of ane notar thairupon; for, gif he or his procurator pais to the perfonall prefence of the heritour, and expone to him be word, that he hes the gift of the marriage difponit to him be the fuperiour, or that he is ceffioner and affignay lauchfullie conftitute be the donatour thairof, and makis intimatioun to him of the famin; the famin verbal intimatioun maid nuda voce, is not fufficient; and thairfoir, albeit the air marie without confent of the faid donatour, or his affignay, he fould not be compellit to pay to him the doubill avail of the marriage, bot the fingle avail allenarlie. And the like to be obfervit in all intimatiouns of ony uther rights, evidentis, or titillis.

Balfour, p. 169.

1628. July 3.

SCOT against L. DRUMLANRIG.

No 45. In a competition betwixt an arrefter and an affignee's intimation was found null, becaufe the fame perion who was procurator allo acted as notary.

No 44. Intimations of rights and titles muft be by delivering a copy, and taking inftruments in the perfonal prefence. Ver-

bai intimation

would not be fußicient.