## ADULTERY.

• THE LORDS remitted to the Lord Ordinary, to remit to the Commiffaries, with • an inftruction to allow the defender to repeat a counter process of recrimination • in the process of divorce, and to allow a proof before answer; but under this • qualification, That stating the defender's recrimination, in the shape of a coun-• ter action, should not prevent her from pleading the pursuer's guilt, when • proved, as a total bar to his obtaining a decree of divorce, nor him from plead-• ing his answers thereto.'

Lord Ordinary, Justice-Clerk. Act. Maclaurin. Alt. Dean of Faculty. Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 19. Fac. Col. No 332. p. 510. Stewart.

JIE WUTT.

\*\*\* Compare the following cafe from Balfour, with the above.

1561. March 26. BARBARA LOGAN against ROGER WOD.

THE husband has just action and cause of seeking partising and divorcement, gif his wife committis adulterie, be committing the use of her body, to ony other man, induring the time of the marriage. Bot the husband may not part with his wife, or seek to be divorcit fra hir, be refsoun of adulterie committed be hir, gif he in likewise, hes given the use of his bodie to ony uther in adulterie, and efter the committing thereof, na wayis was reconcilit to his wife thereanent.

Balfour, (MARRIAGE) p. 99.

\*\*\* Compare the following with Nos 9. and 11.

1540. December 18. JANET AUGHINLECH against JAMES STEWART.

QUHEN ony man and his wife are *fimpliciter* partit and divorcit be the authority of the Judge Ordinar, for adulterie, or ony other trefpass committed be the man, the hail tocher-gude, and all that was reflavit be the man fra the woman, by vertue of the matrimonie contractit betwix thame, aucht to be reftorit to the woman, with the proffeits thairof, efter the giving of the fentence of divorce betwix thame.

Balfour, (MARRIAGE) p. 99.

See PIRIE against LUNAN, 8th March 1796, Fac. Col. No 210. p. 496. under the Title Forum Competens in this Dictionary; where it was found, That an action of divorce might be purfued before the Commiffaries, although both parties refided in England, they being natives of Scotland, and having been domiciled there, at the time of their marriage. No 14. Effect of recrimination.

No 15.

Ought the

tocher to be reftored ?

No 13.

339