## 1533. Fanuary I $^{5}$.

Landis, rentis, or uther possessiounis, pertening to the kirk, or ony kirk man, set in few-ferme, for certane dewteis to be payit zeirlie, as few-maill, with provision, that gif the fewar, his airis or successouris, failzie in payment be the space of three termis, in that cais the infeftment to be null and of nane availl : Gif it happin the fewar, his airis or mecessouris, to failzie thairanent, he tynis. and forfaltis his few, and the landis returnis to the gevar or maker of the said few, or his successouris: And mairover, in this cais, the fewar sould content and pay the byrunnis of his few-maill auchtand be him, for all the termis precedand the reduction and forfalture of the few.
Fol. Dic. v. г. p. 483. Balfour, (Feu.) No 7.p. 1.71.

## 1553. Abril 27. Bishop of Dunblane against —.

In the action pursued by the Bishop of Dunblane against ——, for reduction of a feu, for not payment of the feu-mails by the space of three terms, it was excepted, That he had received a part of the feu-mails, albeit not complete payment of the terms libelled, and therefore had prejudged himself by his own deed, so that he cannot now have action to the reduction of the feu; which exception was found relevant.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 484. Maitland, MS. p. 117。

## 1557. April 5. Sir Johe Mason against Janet Mason.

Infeftment of land or tenementis, beand gevin to ony man, his wife, thair airis and assignayis, with speciall provisioun contenit in the said infeftment, or zit in ony obligatioun or contract severallie maid to that effect, beirand, that gif the said husband, his wife, or thair airis, failzeit in payment of the said few-maill or annuelrent, contenit in the chartour, at three termis continuallie togidder ; in that cais the said infeftment to be null in the self, and of nane avail; gif efter the husbandis deceis, the wife, quha wes conjunct-fear with him, failzie in payment of the few-maill or annuelrent, all and haill the said infeftment sould be reducit, and declarit of nane avail, with all that followit thairupon, in sa far as the samin concernit the conjunct-fear's liferent allanerlie; because the fault or trespas of the conjunct-fear sould not be prejudiciall to the ait.
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