No 35. 1500. December 5. The King against The Bailles of Haddington.

Ony persoun beand accusit criminallie befoir the judge criminal, the saidis-Lordis (of Session) are judgeis competent to cognosce and tak inquisitioun, quhidder the samin judge hes procedit ordourlie or not, in sic thingis as concernis thair office in leiding and deducing of the proces: And gif he be fund culpabill, or convict to have unordourlie or unjustly procedit, thay may dedecern him to be punist thairfoir, and als reduce the proces led, done, and pronuncit be him. And gif the Judge, in ony action of blude, as is persewit of foirthocht felone committit, takis inquisition, or makis accusatioun, of troublance, and makis the persounis committaris of the said blude quyte of the troublance, intending thairby, in defraud of justice, to mak thame quyte of the blude, and foirthocht felonie, in sa far as he may, the saidis Lordis may likewayis cognosce thairupon.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 495. Balfour, No 2. p. 266.

1500. December 7. The King against The Sheriff of Fife.

No 36.

THE Lordis of Sessioun are on na wayis Judges competent to cognosce irrony actioun, or caus concerning crimes, sic as hurting, mutilatioun, or slauchter of men: And farder, gif ony persoun be accusit criminallie befoir the Justice, or his deputis, or ony uther Judge within this realme havand criminal jurisdictioun, and be put to the knawledge of ane assise thairanent, gif he be ather fylit or quytit, quhidder the samin deliverance of the assise be wrangouslie or richteouslie done, the saidis Lordis hes na powar to cognosce thairupon, bot aucht and sould remit the samin to the Justice, and his deputis, to be decidit befoir thame be ain greit assise, conform to the lawis of this realme.

Balfour, No 2. p. 266.

1515. July 9. The King against Gavin Postulat of Aberbrothick.

No 37.

Gir ony persoun, spiritual or temporal, within this realme, accusis ony for breking of the actis of Parliament, the King, and the Lordis of Counsal, and nane utheris, ar Juge's competent thairintill.

Balfour, No 3. p. 266.